



MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT

11 RESERVATION ROAD, MARINA, CA 93933-2099

Home Page: www.mcwd.org

TEL: (831) 384-6131 FAX: (831) 883-5995

DIRECTORS
WILLIAM Y. LEE
President

DAN BURNS
Vice President

HOWARD GUSTAFSON
KENNETH K. NISHI
JAN SHRINER

Agenda

Regular Board Meeting, Board of Directors Marina Coast Water District

11 Reservation Road, Marina, California

Tuesday, May 10, 2011, 6:45 p.m. PST

This meeting has been noticed according to the Brown Act rules. The Board of Directors now meets regularly on the second Tuesday of each month. The meetings normally begin at 6:45 p.m. at the District offices at 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California.

Mission: *Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Vision: *The Marina Coast Water District will be the leading public supplier of integrated water and wastewater services in the Monterey Bay Region.*

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Closed Session

A. Pursuant to Government Code 54956.9

Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation
(Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9)

Ag Land Trust v. Marina Coast Water District and Does 1-100, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M105019 (First Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief)

B. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9

Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation

Significant Exposure to Litigation Pursuant to Subdivision (b) of Section 54956.9
1 - Case

This agenda is subject to revision and may be amended prior to the scheduled meeting. A final agenda will be posted at the District office at 11 Reservation Road, Marina, 72 hours prior to the meeting. Copies will also be available at the Board meeting. A complete Board packet containing all enclosures and staff materials will be available for public review on Thursday, May 5, 2011 at the District office, Marina and Seaside City Halls, and at the Marina and Seaside Libraries. Information about items on this agenda or persons requesting disability related modifications and/or accommodations can contact the Board Clerk at: 831-883-5910. The next regular meeting of the Board of Directors is scheduled for June 14, 2011.

- C. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6
Conference with Labor Negotiators
Agency designated representatives: William Lee and Dan Burns
Unrepresented employee: General Manager

7:00 p.m. Reconvene Open Session

4. Possible Action on Closed Session Items *The Board will report out on any action taken during Closed Session, and may take additional action in Open Session, as appropriate. Any closed session items not completed will be discussed at the end of the meeting.*

5. Pledge of Allegiance

6. Oral Communications *Anyone wishing to address the Board on matters not appearing on the Agenda may do so at this time. Please limit your comment to three minutes. The public may comment on any other items listed on the agenda at the time they are considered by the Board.*

7. Presentation

- A. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-30 in Recognition of Public Member, Mr. Richard Newhouse, for his Dedicated Service to the MCWD as a Member on the Water Conservation Commission

8. Public Hearing

- A. Receive Public Comment on the Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan

9. Consent Calendar *Board approval can be taken with a single motion and vote. A Board member or member of the public may request that any item be pulled from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration at this meeting or a subsequent meeting. The public may address the Board on any Consent Calendar item. Please limit your comment to three minutes.*

- A. Approve the Draft Summer 2011 Newsletter
- B. Receive the Quarterly Financial Statements for January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011
- C. Approve the Expenditures for the Month of April 2011
- D. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of February 22, 2011
- E. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of March 29, 2011
- F. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 4, 2011
- G. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 8, 2011
- H. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of April 12, 2011

10. Action Items *The Board will review and discuss agenda items and take action or direct staff to return to the Board for action at a following meeting. The public may address the Board on these Items as each item is reviewed by the Board. Please limit your comment to three minutes.*

- A. Consider Second Reading of Ordinance No. 54 Approving New District Rates, Fees & Charges for Marina Water and Wastewater

Action: The Board of Directors is asked to consider a second reading of Ordinance No. 54 approving new District rates, fees and charges for Marina water and wastewater. The Board of Directors will be asked to consider adopting Ordinance No. 54 on June 14, 2011 following a Prop. 218 process and public hearing.

- B. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-31 to Adopt the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project

Action: The Board of Directors is requested to adopt the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline Project/Eastern Distribution System Project and Adopt Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

- C. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-32 to Approve an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$36,500

Action: The Board of Directors is requested to approve an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$36,500.

- D. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-33 to Approve an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$167,100

Action: The Board of Directors is requested to approve an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for engineering services related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$167,100.

- E. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-34 to Authorize the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to Sign a Memorandum of Understanding and License Agreement with UCP-East Garrison, LLC for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site

Action: The Board of Directors is requested to authorize the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to sign a Memorandum of Understanding and License Agreement with UCP-East Garrison, LLC for temporary access to the site for Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project.

- F. Consider Revisiting the Director Appointment as Ex-Officio Member to the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors

Action: The Board of Directors is requested to consider revisiting the Director appointment to the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors as an ex-officio member.

11. Staff Report

- A. 1st Quarter 2011 Ord Community Water Consumption and Sewer Flow Report
- B. Information on Water Conservation Commission Membership

12. Workshop

- A. Review Board Procedures Manual

13. Informational Items *Informational items are normally provided in the form of a written report or verbal update and may not require Board action. The public may address the Board on Informational Items as they are considered by the Board. Please limit your comments to three minutes.*

- A. General Manager's Report
- B. District Engineer's Report
- C. Counsel's Report -
Legal Opinion on Brown Act Rules for Closed Session Items
- D. Committee and Board Liaison Reports

1. Water Conservation Commission
2. Joint City-District Committee
3. Budget and Personnel Committee
4. MRWPCA Board Member
5. Special Districts Association Liaison
6. LAFCO Liaison
7. JPIA Liaison
8. FORA
9. CalDesal
10. Executive Committee
11. Community Outreach
12. Regional Desalination Reports

E. Director's Comments

14. Adjournment *Set or Announce Next Meeting(s), date(s), time(s), and location(s):*

*Special Joint Meeting: Friday, June 10, 2011, 3:00 p.m.,
933 2nd Avenue, Marina*

*Regular Meeting: Tuesday, June 14, 2011, 6:45 p.m.,
11 Reservation Road, Marina*

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 7-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Rich Youngblood

Presented By: Rich Youngblood

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-30 in Recognition of Public Member, Mr. Richard Newhouse, for his Dedicated Service to the Marina Coast Water District as a Member on the Water Conservation Commission

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to consider adopting a resolution recognizing Mr. Richard Newhouse for his service on the Water Conservation Commission.

Mr. Richard Newhouse was appointed as a public member to the Water Conservation Commission in September 2009. As a member of the Commission he provided input on water conservation activities, practices and programs. During his tenure on the Water Conservation Commission, Mr. Newhouse provided invaluable insights and guidance.

Mr. Newhouse's dedication and commitment to public service has been recognized by his fellow Commission members and all those who have come into contact with him. The District wishes to recognize Mr. Richard Newhouse for his unselfish dedication, commitment, and service, and wishes him well in the future.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 1 – To manage and sustain the District's ground water and desalinated water, recycled water and wastewater services, conservation activities, infrastructure and human resources at or above industry standards.*

Financial Impact: Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: None

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2011-30.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors adopt Resolution No. 2011-30 to recognize community member, Richard Newhouse, for his dedicated service to the Marina Coast Water District as a public member on the Water Conservation Commission.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

____ Resolution No ____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

May 10, 2011

Resolution No. 2011 - 30
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Recognition of Public Member, Richard Newhouse,
for Dedicated Service to the Marina Coast Water District as a Member on the
Water Conservation Commission

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on May 10, 2011 at the business office of the District, 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, Richard Newhouse was appointed in September 2009 to serve as a member on the Water Conservation Commission of the Marina Coast Water District; and,

WHEREAS, during his tenure, Richard Newhouse rendered superior service to the District by providing invaluable participation and insights, and by contributing significant personal time.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District does hereby express its gratitude and commends Richard Newhouse for outstanding and dedicated service to the District as a Commission member.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on May 10, 2011, by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2011-30 adopted May 10, 2011.

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 8-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Gary Rogers
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa, PE

Presented by: Gary Rogers

Subject: Receive Public Comment on the Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan

Detailed Description: The Board will receive public comment on the District's Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan. Following the public hearing, the comments received will be considered and a final Urban Water Management Plan will be returned to the Board in June for consideration.

In December 2005 the Board approved the *2005 Urban Water Management Plan*. The California Urban Water Management Planning Act requires any municipal supplier serving over 3,000 connections or 3,000 acre-feet of water per year to prepare an urban water management plan every five years. The 2010 plan deadline was extended due to changes in the law which required the Department of Water Resources to develop additional procedures and guidelines for completion of Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP). The District must adopt the 2010 UWMP not later than July 1, 2011.

The District will receive and consider written comments on the draft 2010 UWMP until 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 16, 2011. All comments received will be included in the final 2010 UWMP. Public advertisement for this document was executed through several circulars. This document and the associated notice of availability were sent out to various entities, posted at both District offices, and on the District website on April 26, 2011.

In accordance with the UWMP Act, notice of preparation of the proposed *2010 UWMP* was posted. It was mailed to the county and cities in January 2011 and placed on the District's website beginning in February 2011 and updated in March 2011. The draft development and water demand projections tables, which form the basis of the plan, were mailed to the land use jurisdictions (LUJs) for review on July 27, 2010, and discussed at the July 14, 2010 Fort Ord Reuse Authority's Water/Wastewater Oversight Committee meeting. In response to on-going communications with the LUJs and projected land use changes within many of the jurisdictions, the initial draft of the Plan was revised several times.

In response to the latest information provided by the US Census Bureau (2010 Decennial Census results) in April 2011, the District revised the baseline water demand of the March 2011 UWMP draft. The baseline water demand is now identified as 133 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) in the revised draft UWMP. This current demand rate is below the average water demand for the Central Coast Region.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 1 - To manage and sustain the District's groundwater and desalinated water, recycled water and wastewater services, conservation activities, infrastructure and human resources at or above industry standards.*

Prior Committee or Board Action: On June 22, 2010, the Board approved Resolution No. 2010-37 Approving a PSA Amendment with Schaaf & Wheeler to Prepare the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan. On April 12, 2011, the Board received the Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan.

Financial Impact: Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: Preparation of the Draft *2010 UWMP* was funded through water rates of both the Ord Community and Central Marina.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: The Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan was provided for public review on April 26, 2011 and is also available on the District website, www.mcwd.org.

Recommendation: Hold a public hearing to accept comments from the public on the District's Draft 2010 Urban Water Management Plan.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review

Board Action

Resolution No _____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Consent Calendar

Detailed Description: Consent calendar consisting of:

- A) Approve the Draft Summer 2011 Newsletter
- B) Receive the Quarterly Financial Statements for January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011
- C) Approve the Expenditures for the Month of April 2011
- D) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of February 22, 2011
- E) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of March 29, 2011
- F) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 4, 2011
- G) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 8, 2011
- H) Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of April 12, 2011

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: See individual transmittals.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Mission Statement - Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: _____Yes _____No

Funding Source/Recap: See individual transmittals.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: See individual transmittals.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the Consent Calendar as presented.

Action Required: _____Resolution ___X___Motion _____Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

_____Resolution No_____ Motion By_____ Seconded By_____

Ayes_____ Abstained_____

Noes_____ Absent_____

Reagendized_____ Date_____ No Action Taken_____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Rich Youngblood
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Rich Youngblood

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Summer 2011 Newsletter

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the draft Summer 2011 Newsletter.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement - Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: Printing account – all four cost centers.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Copy of the draft Summer 2011 Newsletter.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft Summer 2011 Newsletter.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review

Board Action

Resolution No Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-B

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Suresh Prasad
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Suresh Prasad

Agenda Title: Receive the Quarterly Financial Statements for January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011

Detailed Description: All figures reported for the quarter are based on accrual basis accounting. The District's consolidated financial statement for the quarter includes revenues of \$2.416 million and expenses of \$1.899 million, resulting in net gain from operations of \$0.517 million. The District budget projected net gain from operations of \$0.217 million for the same period. The net gain from operations was higher than the budget expectation by \$0.300 million due to lower than budgeted expenses for the current quarter.

Summary of Cost Centers:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Actual Otr</u>	<u>Budget Otr</u>	<u>Actual FYTD</u>	<u>Budget FYTD</u>
Marina Water				
Revenues	848,439	872,725	2,838,394	2,804,175
Expenses	486,319	611,828	1,583,451	1,835,484
Net Gain	362,121	260,897	1,254,944	968,691
Marina Sewer				
Revenues	186,481	188,600	554,970	565,800
Expenses	150,894	128,018	560,683	384,053
Net Gain	35,586	60,582	(5,713)	181,747
Ord Community Water				
Revenues	943,758	969,625	3,285,086	3,288,125
Expenses	907,855	1,136,283	3,182,536	3,408,848
Net Gain	35,903	(166,658)	102,550	(120,723)
Ord Community Sewer				
Revenues	435,296	439,950	1,272,926	1,319,850
Expenses	262,377	275,162	862,732	825,487
Net Gain	172,919	164,788	410,194	494,363
Recycled Water Project				
Revenues	2,500	2,075	28,222	6,225
Expenses	91,828	104,336	351,500	313,008
Net Loss	(89,328)	(102,261)	(323,278)	(306,783)
Consolidated Cost Centers				
Revenues	2,416,474	2,472,975	7,979,599	7,984,175
Expenses	1,899,274	2,255,627	6,540,902	6,766,880
Net Gain	517,200	217,348	1,438,696	1,217,295

As of March 31, 2011, the District had \$21.023 million in liquid investments, and \$0.500 million of principal as internal loans to the Ord Community Cost Centers payable to the Marina Cost Centers. The District also had \$2.337 million of bond proceeds for construction purposes, \$3.084 of 2006 bond proceeds and \$0.849 of Armstrong Ranch Refunding bond proceeds for debt reserve purposes in the bank. The District owed \$39.785 million in debt for the 2006 revenue certificates of participation bond, \$8.495 million in Armstrong Ranch Refunding Bond, \$0.437 million for CalPERS loan, \$0.085 in FORA Promissory Note and \$0.983 for IOP Construction Loan.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 4 – To manage the District’s finances in the most effective and fiscally responsible manner.*

Financial Impact: _____ Yes X No

Funding Source/Recap: N/A

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Quarterly Financial Statements, Investments and Debt Summary Statements.

Staff Recommendation: The Board receive the Quarterly Financial Statements for January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011.

Action Required: _____ Resolution _____ Motion X Review

Board Action

_____ Resolution No _____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

CONSOLIDATED

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	2010/2011	2009/2010	2010/2011	2009/2010
REVENUES				
WATER SALES	1,696,279	1,562,993	5,886,167	5,612,916
SEWER SALES	594,074	550,243	1,759,800	1,610,323
INTEREST INCOME	68,502	71,606	184,752	250,048
OTHER REVENUE	57,619	286,065	148,879	561,149
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
TOTAL REVENUES	2,416,474	2,470,907	7,979,599	8,034,436
EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	374,542	426,684	1,416,017	1,393,896
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	622,082	600,313	2,173,452	1,897,799
LABORATORY	80,483	76,606	270,294	229,532
CONSERVATION	74,696	74,613	216,478	196,694
ENGINEERING	204,450	254,859	616,209	624,724
INTEREST EXPENSE	484,752	419,605	1,647,885	967,765
FRANCHISE FEE	58,269	50,308	200,567	184,048
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,899,274	1,902,988	6,540,902	5,494,458
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	<u>517,200</u>	<u>567,919</u>	<u>1,438,696</u>	<u>2,539,978</u>
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	238,687	105,046	539,996	634,576
GRANT REVENUE	82,331	22,718	123,348	33,243
NON_OPERATING REVENUE	580,791	1,093,789	3,264,225	2,800,172
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	1,297,603	451,047	4,939,260	1,425,622

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

CONSOLIDATED

	MW FUND		MS FUND		OW FUND		OS FUND		RW FUND		RP FUND		CONSOLIDATED		CONSOLIDATED (YTD)	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES																
WATER SALES	800,613	847,250	-	-	895,665	933,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,696,279	1,780,750	5,886,167	5,907,500
SEWER SALES	-	-	180,915	181,500	-	-	413,158	428,750	-	-	-	-	594,074	610,250	1,759,800	1,830,750
INTEREST INCOME	22,039	16,000	4,816	6,250	26,075	22,500	13,073	6,750	2,500	1,250	-	-	68,502	52,750	184,752	158,250
OTHER REVENUE	25,787	9,475	749	850	22,018	13,625	9,065	4,450	-	825	-	-	57,619	29,225	148,879	87,675
TOTAL REVENUES	848,439	872,725	186,481	188,600	943,758	969,625	435,296	439,950	2,500	2,075	-	-	2,416,474	2,472,975	7,979,599	7,984,175
EXPENSES																
ADMINISTRATIVE	110,844	153,487	28,087	43,583	188,898	289,162	46,699	76,142	15	-	-	-	374,542	562,375	1,416,017	1,687,125
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	195,022	253,470	60,343	46,068	296,469	408,825	70,248	93,898	-	-	-	-	622,082	802,260	2,173,452	2,406,780
LABORATORY	34,811	38,571	-	-	45,671	51,842	-	-	-	-	-	-	80,483	90,414	270,294	271,241
CONSERVATION	36,689	44,221	-	-	38,007	47,530	-	-	-	-	-	-	74,696	91,750	216,478	275,252
ENGINEERING	54,665	62,979	37,570	14,693	42,420	96,473	38,981	37,697	30,813	23,086	-	-	204,450	234,927	616,209	704,783
INTEREST EXPENSE	54,287	59,100	24,895	23,675	241,100	172,450	103,470	64,425	61,000	81,250	-	-	484,752	400,900	1,647,885	1,202,700
FRANCHISE FEE	-	-	-	-	55,289	70,000	2,980	3,000	-	-	-	-	58,269	73,000	200,567	219,000
TOTAL EXPENSES	486,319	611,828	150,894	128,018	907,855	1,136,283	262,377	275,162	91,828	104,336	-	-	1,899,274	2,255,627	6,540,902	6,766,880
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	362,121	260,897	35,586	60,582	35,903	(166,658)	172,919	164,788	(89,328)	(102,261)	-	-	517,200	217,348	1,438,696	1,217,295
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	-	5,000	-	2,500	217,695	32,750	20,992	10,000	-	-	-	-	238,687	50,250	539,996	150,750
GRANT REVENUE	-	-	-	-	82,331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82,331	-	123,348	-
NON_OPERATING REVENUE	-	-	-	-	286,197	-	-	-	-	-	294,594	-	580,791	-	3,264,225	-
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	208,454	1,056,562	41,355	118,874	790,242	2,735,298	71,093	508,049	13,275	8,259,726	173,184	558,114	1,297,603	13,236,623	4,939,260	39,709,868

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

MARINA WATER FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES	800,613	847,250	2,720,691	2,727,750
SEWER SALES				
INTEREST INCOME	22,039	16,000	60,224	48,000
OTHER REVENUE	25,787	9,475	57,480	28,425
	<hr/>			
TOTAL REVENUES	848,439	872,725	2,838,394	2,804,175
EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	110,844	153,487	393,547	460,463
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	195,022	253,470	666,872	760,410
LABORATORY	34,811	38,571	118,477	115,715
CONSERVATION	36,689	44,221	99,796	132,661
ENGINEERING	54,665	62,979	136,498	188,935
INTEREST EXPENSE	54,287	59,100	168,261	177,300
FRANCHISE FEE				
	<hr/>			
TOTAL EXPENSES	486,319	611,828	1,583,451	1,835,484
	<hr/>			
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	362,121	260,897	1,254,944	968,691
	<hr/> <hr/>			
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	-	5,000	115,308	15,000
GRANT REVENUE	-	-	-	-
NON-OPERATING REVENUE	-	0	0	0
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	208,454	1,056,562	524,149	3,169,684

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

MARINA SEWER FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES				
SEWER SALES	180,915	181,500	536,192	544,500
INTEREST INCOME	4,816	6,250	13,374	18,750
OTHER REVENUE	749	850	5,404	2,550
TOTAL REVENUES	186,481	188,600	554,970	565,800
 EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	28,087	43,583	102,423	130,748
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	60,343	46,068	258,446	138,202
LABORATORY				
CONSERVATION				
ENGINEERING	37,570	14,693	111,083	44,078
INTEREST EXPENSE	24,895	23,675	88,731	71,025
FRANCHISE FEE				
TOTAL EXPENSES	150,894	128,018	560,683	384,053
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	35,586	60,582	(5,713)	181,747
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	-	2,500	34,357	7,500
GRANT REVENUE	-	-	-	-
NON-OPERATING REVENUE				
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	41,355	118,874	111,630	356,622

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

ORD COMMUNITY WATER FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES	895,665	933,500	3,165,476	3,179,750
SEWER SALES				
INTEREST INCOME	26,075	22,500	68,517	67,500
OTHER REVENUE	22,018	13,625	51,093	40,875
	<hr/>			
TOTAL REVENUES	943,758	969,625	3,285,086	3,288,125
EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	188,898	289,162	744,926	867,488
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	296,469	408,825	1,013,146	1,226,475
LABORATORY	45,671	51,842	151,817	155,527
CONSERVATION	38,007	47,530	116,682	142,590
ENGINEERING	42,420	96,473	155,764	289,419
INTEREST EXPENSE	241,100	172,450	808,377	517,350
FRANCHISE FEE	55,289	70,000	191,823	210,000
	<hr/>			
TOTAL EXPENSES	907,855	1,136,283	3,182,536	3,408,848
	<hr/>			
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	35,903	(166,658)	102,550	(120,723)
	<hr/> <hr/>			
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	217,695	32,750	351,232	98,250
GRANT REVENUE	82,331	-	123,348	-
NON-OPERATING REVENUE	286,197	-	350,197	-
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	790,242	2,735,298	1,724,626	8,205,895

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

ORD COMMUNITY SEWER FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES				
SEWER SALES	413,158	428,750	1,223,609	1,286,250
INTEREST INCOME	13,073	6,750	36,195	20,250
OTHER REVENUE	9,065	4,450	13,122	13,350
	<hr/>			
TOTAL REVENUES	435,296	439,950	1,272,926	1,319,850
EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	46,699	76,142	175,036	228,428
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	70,248	93,898	234,988	281,692
LABORATORY				
CONSERVATION				
ENGINEERING	38,981	37,697	118,573	113,092
INTEREST EXPENSE	103,470	64,425	325,392	193,275
FRANCHISE FEE	2,980	3,000	8,744	9,000
	<hr/>			
TOTAL EXPENSES	262,377	275,162	862,732	825,487
	<hr/>			
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	172,919	164,788	410,194	494,363
	<hr/> <hr/>			
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	20,992	10,000	39,099	30,000
GRANT REVENUE	-	-	-	-
NON-OPERATING REVENUE	-	-	-	-
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	71,093	508,049	207,752	1,524,147

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
INCOME STATEMENT
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

RECYCLED WATER FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES				
SEWER SALES				
INTEREST INCOME	2,500	1,250	6,442	3,750
OTHER REVENUE	-	825	21,780	2,475
	<hr/>			
TOTAL REVENUES	2,500	2,075	28,222	6,225
 EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	15	-	85	-
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE	-	-	-	-
LABORATORY				
CONSERVATION				
ENGINEERING	30,813	23,086	94,292	69,258
INTEREST EXPENSE	61,000	81,250	257,123	243,750
FRANCHISE FEE				
	<hr/>			
TOTAL EXPENSES	91,828	104,336	351,500	313,008
	<hr/>			
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(89,328)	(102,261)	(323,278)	(306,783)
	<hr/> <hr/>			
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE	-	-	-	-
GRANT REVENUE	-	-	-	-
NON-OPERATING REVENUE				
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	13,275	8,259,726	145,771	24,779,178

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
 INCOME STATEMENT
 JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
 (UNAUDITED)

REGIONAL PROJECT FUND

	CURRENT QUARTER		YEAR-TO-DATE	
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET
REVENUES				
WATER SALES				
SEWER SALES				
INTEREST INCOME				
OTHER REVENUE				
<hr/>				
TOTAL REVENUES	-	-	-	-
 EXPENSES				
ADMINISTRATIVE	-	-	-	-
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE				
LABORATORY				
CONSERVATION				
ENGINEERING	-	-	-	-
INTEREST EXPENSE				
FRANCHISE FEE				
<hr/>				
TOTAL EXPENSES	-	-	-	-
<hr/>				
NET GAIN/(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	-	-	-	-
<hr/> <hr/>				
CAPACITY FEE/CAPITAL SURCHARGE				
GRANT REVENUE				
NON-OPERATING REVENUE	294,594	-	2,914,028	-
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	173,184	558,114	2,225,332	1,674,342

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS SUMMARY
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

PURCHASE DATE	MATURITY DATE	ACCT TYPE	YIELD APR	1/1/2011 BALANCE	QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES		3/31/2011 BALANCE
					TRANSACTION TYPE	AMOUNT	
LAIF ACCOUNT			0.51%	12,795,596	INTEREST 01/14/2011	17,192	12,812,788
SAVINGS ACCOUNT			0.64%	169,433	INTEREST 02/28/11	4,452	173,885
					XFR TO CHECKING A/C	(100,000)	73,885
					XFR FM CHECKING A/C	3,250,000	3,323,885
CPFCA DEPOSIT ACCOUNT			0.55%	100,000	INTEREST 02/28/11	19	100,019
CD ACCOUNT							
12/5/2010	6/5/2011	CD #1	0.30%	85,859	INTEREST 02/04/11	118	85,977
8/20/2010	2/20/2011	CD #2	0.35%	228,240	INTEREST 12/31/2010	226	228,466
12/1/2010	12/1/2011	CD IOP	0.55%	3,276,000	INTEREST 02/28/11	4,505	3,280,505
CHECKING ACCOUNT				(232,528)	QUARTERLY DEPOSITS	9,469,051	9,236,523
					QUARTERLY CHECKS	(4,895,260)	4,341,263
					XFR FM SAVINGS A/C	100,000	4,441,263
					XFR TO SAVINGS A/C	(3,250,000)	1,191,263
INTERNAL LOAN RECEIVABLE							
ORD WATER LOAN		PRINCIPAL		316,254			
ORD SEWER LOAN		PRINCIPAL		184,233			
(Loan are scheduled to be recovered from Ord Community Cost Centers on a 10 year amortization period.)							

SUMMARY	Current Quarter		RESERVES DETAIL (LAIF ACCOUNT)	Current Quarter	
	2009/2010	2010/2011		2009/2010	2010/2011
LAIF ACCOUNT	17,010,178	12,812,788	MW CAP REPL RESERVE FUND	693,375	897,451
SAVINGS ACCOUNT	163,415	3,323,885	MW CAPACITY REVENUE FUND	339,672	410,776
CPFCA DEPOSIT ACCOUNT	-	100,019	MW GEN OP RESERVE	6,075,857	4,571,811
CD #1 ACCOUNT	85,586	85,977	MS CAP REPL RESERVE FUND	318,100	419,993
CD #2 ACCOUNT	227,437	228,466	MS CAPACITY REVENUE FUND	358,967	343,969
CD IOP	-	3,280,505	MS GEN OP RESERVE	1,080,348	692,497
CHECKING ACCOUNT	2,146,339	1,191,263	OW CAP REPL RESERVE FUND	407,514	610,129
			OW CAPITAL/CAPACITY REVENUE FUND	1,333,066	1,397,656
TOTAL INVESTMENT	19,632,955	21,022,903	OW GEN OP RESERVE	3,412,264	996,397
			OS CAP REPL RESERVE FUND	203,757	305,064
			OS CAPITAL/CAPACITY REVENUE FUND	474,535	97,456
			OS GEN OP RESERVE	2,312,722	2,069,589
			TOTAL	17,010,177	12,812,788

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
 SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS SUMMARY - BOND PROCEEDS
 JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
 (UNAUDITED)

PURCHASE DATE	MATURITY DATE	ACCT TYPE	YIELD APR	1/1/2011 BALANCE	QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES TRANSACTION TYPE	AMOUNT	3/31/2011 BALANCE
RESERVE FUND 2006 BOND		GIC	4.95%	3,084,250	INTEREST APPLIED TO DEBT SERVICE		3,084,250 3,084,250
RESERVE FUND ARMSTRONG REFUNDING BOND		GIC		849,500			849,500 849,500
CONSTRUCTION FUND		MM	0.64%	2,332,991	INTEREST 03/31/2011	3,704	2,336,695 2,336,695

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
SCHEDULE OF DEBT SUMMARY
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO MARCH 31, 2011
(UNAUDITED)

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	FIRST PAYMENT	FINAL PAYMENT	RATE	1/1/2011 BALANCE	QUARTERLY ACTIVITIES TRANSACTION TYPE	AMOUNT	3/31/2011 BALANCE
RABO BANK - CALPERS LOAN							
901,077	05/26/2005	04/26/2015	6.495%	460,270	PAYMENT	(23,441)	436,829
PAYMENT DUE MONTHLY							
RABO BANK - IOP CONSTRUCTION LOAN							
983,487	12/31/2011	12/31/2011	2.050%	983,487			983,487
INTEREST ONLY PAYMENT							
SERIES 2006 BOND - CLOSING DATE 08/23/2006							
42,310,000	06/01/2007	06/01/2037	4.795%	39,785,000	PAYMENT		39,785,000
NEXT PMT DUE 06/01/2011 \$1,803,168							
FORA PROMISSORY NOTE - CLOSING DATE 06/24/2009							
134,408	07/01/2009	03/30/2014	0.000%	91,964	PAYMENT	(7,074)	84,890
PAYMENT DUE MONTHLY							
ARMSTRONG RANCH REFUNDING BOND - CLOSING DATE 12/23/2010							
8,495,000	06/01/2011	06/01/2020		8,495,000			8,495,000
NEXT PMT DUE 06/01/2011							

SUMMARY

RABOBANK - CALPERS LOAN	436,829
RABOBANK - IOP CONSTRUCTION LOAN	983,487
SERIES 2006 BOND	39,785,000
FORA PROMISSORY NOTE	84,890
ARMSTRONG REFUNDING BOND	8,495,000

TOTAL DEBT **49,785,206**

NOTE: On 08/23/2006, the Desal, Zone 2 & 2A, and the CNB Line of Credit was refunded with the 2006 Series Bond.

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-C

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Suresh Prasad

Presented By: Suresh Prasad

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Approve the Expenditures for the Month of April 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached April 2011 check register for expenditures totaling \$1,798,064.42.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 4 – To manage the District’s finances in the most effective and fiscally responsible manner.*

Financial Impact: ___ Yes ___ **X** ___ No

Funding Source/Recap: Expenditures are allocated across the six cost centers; 01-Marina Water, 02-Marina Sewer, 03- Ord Water, 04- Ord Sewer, 05-Recycled Water, 06-Regional Water.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: April 2011 Summary Check Register.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the April 2011 expenditures totaling \$1,798,064.42.

Action Required: ___ Resolution ___ **X** ___ Motion ___ Review

Board Action

___ Resolution No ___ Motion By ___ Seconded By ___

Ayes ___ Abstained ___

Noes ___ Absent ___

Reagendized ___ Date ___ No Action Taken ___

APRIL 2011 SUMMARY CHECK REGISTER

DATE	CHECK #	CHECK DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
04/01/11	51524-51526	Payroll Checks and Direct Deposit Period Ended 03/25/11	74,530.53
04/07/11	51527-51594	Check Register	437,695.33
04/07/11	WIRE	Internal Revenue Service	32,405.82
04/07/11	WIRE	State of California-EDD	7,270.66
04/07/11	WIRE	Other Payroll Deduction	1,500.00
04/15/11	51595-51597	Payroll Direct Deposit Period Ended 04/08/11	72,580.63
04/14/11	51598-51688	Check Register	651,121.49
04/20/11	WIRE	Internal Revenue Service	28,717.28
04/20/11	WIRE	State of California-EDD	6,441.83
04/20/11	WIRE	Other Payroll Deduction	1,500.00
04/21/11	51689-51725	Check Register	209,926.05
04/27/11	51726-51757	Check Register	199,819.87
04/29/11	51758-51760	Payroll Direct Deposit Period Ended 04/22/11	74,554.93
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS			<u>1,798,064.42</u>

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51524-51526	03/25/2011	04/01/2011	Payroll Checks and Direct Deposits	PR Batch 901 04 2011 Checks and Direct Deposit (3 checks)	74,530.53
51527	03/22/2011	04/07/2011	Carlons Fire Extinguisher	Hydrotest MCWD Fire Extinguishers	325.88
51528	03/20/2011	04/07/2011	Manpower Inc.	Accounting Tech 03/08-03/11, 03/14-03/17, 03/21-03/24	2,792.40
51529	03/31/2011	04/07/2011	Insight Planners	Spring 2011 Water-Wise Update Newsletter, Web Maint; Board Packet, Minutes, Tables, Update Q&A, Engineering Announcements & Documents, Web Hosting	2,277.00
51530	04/05/2011	04/07/2011	Fort Ord Reuse Authority	FORA Note Payment 04/2011-06/2011	7,074.00
51531	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Rabobank, N.A. - Aflac URM	PR Batch 901 4 2011	526.90
51532	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Hartford	PR Batch 901 4 2011	5,370.93
51533	03/19/2011	04/07/2011	AT&T	831-000-1006 079 IP Flex, 831-000-1006 141 IP Flex	784.35
51534	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Carmel Marina Corporation	Marina/Ft Ord Trash Pickup for 04/2011	501.71
51535	03/27/2011	04/07/2011	AT&T	582-9817 Main Frame Computer, 384-0267 O&M Fax, 384-6103 Booster Station, 384-6133 Alarm Lines at Main Office, 384-2068 Modem Line for Bank Software	111.01
51536	03/14/2011	04/07/2011	AT&T	384-6131 Main Office	62.14
51537	03/24/2011	04/07/2011	Home Depot/GEFCF	General Operations & Maintenance Equipments	195.52
51538	03/21/2011	04/07/2011	Grainger	Light Bulbs for MCWD. Parking Lot Lighting	46.16
51539	03/22/2011	04/07/2011	Area Communications	Answering Service thru 03/22/11	195.73
51540	02/28/2011	04/07/2011	Schaaf & Wheeler	General Engineering Services; District Project Manager, Design/Bid Phase Services to Construct Well #34, Urban Water Management Plan, Marina Downtown Water Supply Assessment, Ord Community Annexation	29,463.89
51541	02/24/2011	04/07/2011	Noland, Hamerly, Etienne	General Business, Financial Management, Regional State Negotiations, Other Agencies, Internal District Matters & Request for Public Records, Well Management, Recycled Project, Damage Claims, Desalination Documents and Files, Reclamation, Ft. Ord, Armstrong Ranch, Union Election, CalAm Water Agreements, Regional Water Project, Fort Ord Regional Habitat Cooperative, Chapter 7 Collections, Ag Land Trust v. MCWD, CEMEX Water Rights Lapis Site, Seaside Land Transfer, Monterey Regional Waste Mgmt District Renewable Energy, Implementation of Regional Desalination Project, City of Marina Redevelopment Agency	39,038.41
51542	02/28/2011	04/07/2011	Monterey Regional Waste Mgmt	AC Pipe and Refuse Materials from O&M Dept, Demo Landscape Supplies	155.46

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51543	03/22/2011	04/07/2011	3T Equipment Company Inc	(600)-Ft. of Sewer Line Jetting Hose & Parts for Camel Jetter #0801	1,648.21
51544	03/17/2011	04/07/2011	Peninsula Welding Supply	Oxygen for O&M Welding Supplies	26.42
51545	03/23/2011	04/07/2011	Valley Saw and Garden Equip	General Operations & Maintenance Equipment	96.20
51546	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	Nancy C Sheldahl	3112 Bradley Cir-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51547	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	WFCB - OSH Commercial Services	General Operations & Maintenance Equipment	266.32
51548	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	General Teamsters Union	PR Batch 901 4 2011	427.00
51549	03/31/2011	04/07/2011	MRWPCA	Sewer Treatment Charge 03/01-04/30	32.68
51550	02/07/2011	04/07/2011	Drought Resistant Nursery	Demo Landscape Supplies	155.88
51551	02/09/2011	04/07/2011	Aramark Uniform Services	Uniforms, Towels, Rugs for 02/2011	1,483.88
51552	03/28/2011	04/07/2011	Pacific Printing	(2,200)-Application for Leave Forms	446.53
51553	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	The Maynard Group	NEC Maintenance 04/2011	120.00
51554	03/22/2011	04/07/2011	Shape Incorporated	Rebuild/Repair Lift Station #3 Pump	1,735.48
51555	03/16/2011	04/07/2011	USA Bluebook	(10)-Out-of-Service Rings (Markers) for Hydrants	110.54
51556	03/21/2011	04/07/2011	HD Supply Waterworks	(110)-3/4"x 7.5" AMR Meters for Marina AMR C/O	7,810.89
51557	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	AFLAC	Employees AFLAC Withholding	758.04
51558	03/24/2011	04/07/2011	Groeniger & Company	Parts for O&M Dept Stock, Parts for Large Meter Replacement Project	30,728.44
51559	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Franchise Tax Board	PR Batch 901 4 2011	226.87
51560	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	CalPERS	PR Batch 901 4 2011	15,692.32
51561	03/09/2011	04/07/2011	Martin B Feeney, PG, CHg	Replacement Well #34 Project	11,656.77
51562	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	NEC Financial Services, Inc.	Phone Equipment Lease 03/2011	775.60
51563	03/14/2011	04/07/2011	Carollo Engineers	RUWAP (Bidding/Oncall) Services	10,217.00
51564	03/14/2011	04/07/2011	RMC Water Environment	Program Management & Engineering Services Recycled	888.75
51565	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Devin Derham-Burk, Trustee	PR Batch 901 4 2011	161.54
51566	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Hartford Life Insurance Company	PR Batch 901 4 2011	1,013.79
51567	03/10/2011	04/07/2011	Fastenal Industrial & Construction Supplies	(1)-7/8" Eye Bolt for O&M Traffic Plate	13.66
51568	02/28/2011	04/07/2011	Dilbeck & Sons Inc	FORA Building C (Imjin Office Park)	196,517.71
51569	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Prepaid Legal Services, Inc	PR Batch 901 4 2011	39.35
51570	02/28/2011	04/07/2011	Pinnacle Bank	FORA Building C (Imjin Office Park)	21,835.31
51571	03/15/2011	04/07/2011	Owen Equipment Sales	(4)-3" Strainers for Vactor Truck Veh#0303	126.10
51572	03/30/2011	04/07/2011	Jasper C Shrum	3086 Zanetta Dr-Toilet Rebate	125.00
51573	03/15/2011	04/07/2011	Future Home Systems and Design Inc.	MCWD Beach Office Sound System	3,427.20
51574	03/22/2011	04/07/2011	Jonathan Cirillo	1355 Colmar Rd-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51575	03/23/2011	04/07/2011	Alexandra Treitman	3137 Seacrest Ave #12-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51576	03/23/2011	04/07/2011	Cheryl Herod	3196 Susan Ave-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51577	03/28/2011	04/07/2011	Elfriede Wilson	320 Carmel Ave #3-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51578	03/28/2011	04/07/2011	Jeff Pestana	233 Mortimer Ln-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51579	03/29/2011	04/07/2011	Jose I Gomez	3128 Crescent Ave #4-Toilet Rebate	88.00
51580	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	CA State Disbursement Unit	PR Batch 901 4 2011	581.07
51581	03/24/2011	04/07/2011	Voyager Fleet Systems Inc	Fleet Gasoline	3,699.95
51582	02/13/2011	04/07/2011	Cabela's	(12)-Plano Molded Black Cases for O&M	88.43
51583	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Principal Life Group	PR Batch 901 4 2011	130.66
51584	03/30/2011	04/07/2011	Suzanne Gallaher	3070 Bostick Ave-Toilet Rebate	250.00
51585	02/01/2011	04/07/2011	Troutman Sanders Public Affairs Grp LLC	Retention of TS Public Affairs for 02/2011 & 03/2011	12,015.00
51586	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	Marina Tire & Auto Repair	Oil Change for Vehicle #0701	33.10
51587	03/28/2011	04/07/2011	Bob Brown	1402 Simpson Ct-Toilet Rebate	98.00
51588	03/25/2011	04/07/2011	Warren Foster	Grade II Water Certification Award Check	250.00
51589	03/18/2011	04/07/2011	Verizon Business	(8)-Aircards, Cell Phones DOF, GM, DGM/DE, O&M, Eng	1,102.07
51590	03/07/2011	04/07/2011	Ausonio Incorporated	Phase I Sitework Improvement - Imjin Office Parkway	18,038.46
51591	03/11/2011	04/07/2011	Costco	General Supplies for Marina & Ft. Ord Offices	468.33
51592	03/20/2011	04/07/2011	Culligan Water Enterprises	Water Softener-Well #10, Well #11, Well #12, Booster #F	335.49
51593	10/13/2010	04/07/2011	Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control Dist	MBUAPCD Generator Permit	2,000.00
51594	03/17/2011	04/07/2011	Marina Gazette	WCC Vacancy Advertisement	281.80
WIRE	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Internal Revenue Service	PR Batch 901 4 2011 FIT	32,405.82
WIRE	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	State of California - EDD	PR Batch 901 4 2011 SIT	7,270.66
WIRE	04/01/2011	04/07/2011	Other Payroll Deduction	PR Batch 901 4 2011	1,500.00
51595- 51597	04/08/2011	04/15/2011	Payroll Checks and Direct Deposits	PR Batch 902 04 2011 Checks and Direct Deposit (3 checks)	72,580.63
51598	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Alhambra and Sierra Springs	Lab Distilled Water 3/15, 3/29	90.19
51599	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Carlons Fire Extinguisher	First Aid Supplies for Ft. Ord Office	96.02
51600	03/30/2011	04/14/2011	Don's Lock & Key	Keys & Supplies for O&M Dept	16.24
51601	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Rabobank, N.A. - Aflac URM	PR Batch 902 4 2011	526.90
51602	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Hartford	PR Batch 902 4 2011	5,370.93
51603	02/18/2011	04/14/2011	Fisher Scientific	Syringe Filters, Drierite, Screw Caps, Culture Tubes, Buffers, Electrode Storage Solution, Sulfuric Acids, Conductivity Std and Solution, Water Hardness Buffer, Stabical Ampule Kit, Phenyl Arsin Oxide, and Culturing Sets 25	2,653.45
51604	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Monterey Regional Waste Mgmt	Green Waste from O&M Dept	20.24
51605	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc.	(3)-Electrical Split Bolts for Well #11	254.87
51606	04/05/2011	04/14/2011	McDonald Refrigeration Inc	Repair Chiller at Well #12	785.67
51607	03/02/2011	04/14/2011	Aramark Uniform Services	Uniforms, Towels, Rugs for 03/2011	1,648.23
51608	04/04/2011	04/14/2011	Golden Gate Petroleum	360 Gals of Clear Diesel Fuel for O&M Diesel Convault	1,715.52
51609	12/08/2010	04/14/2011	State Water Resources Cntrl Brd	IOP Stormwater & Wastewater Permit Fee	433.00
51610	03/24/2011	04/14/2011	Maggiore Bros Drilling	Destruction of Well #32/Construction of Well #34	392,044.50

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51611	03/30/2011	04/14/2011	Waterless Co LLC	Supplies for Waterless Restroom Fixture	259.45
51612	10/01/2010	04/14/2011	The Maynard Group	NEC Maintenance 10/2010	120.00
51613	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	HD Supply Waterworks	(74)-3/4"x 7.5" AMR Meters for Marina AMR	16,055.72
51614	03/28/2011	04/14/2011	DataProse Inc	Postage Meter Billing for March 2011	3,319.06
51615	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Franchise Tax Board	PR Batch 902 4 2011	226.87
51616	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Devin Derham-Burk, Trustee	PR Batch 902 4 2011	161.54
51617	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Hartford Life Insurance Company	PR Batch 902 4 2011	1,013.79
51618	03/25/2011	04/14/2011	Fastenal Industrial & Construction Supplies	(2)-Hard Hats & Safety Supplies for O&M Dept	90.13
51619	01/11/2011	04/14/2011	Wallace Group	Engineering Services for Seaside Irrigation Reservoir Potable Water/General Jim Moore Blvd Sewer	507.00
51620	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Dilbeck & Sons Inc	FORA Building C (Imjim Office Park)	180,845.60
51621	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Prepaid Legal Services, Inc	PR Batch 902 4 2011	39.35
51622	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Pinnacle Bank	FORA Building C (Imjim Office Park)	20,093.97
51623	03/28/2011	04/14/2011	O'Reilly Automotive Inc	General Operations & Maintenance Equipments	40.46
51624	04/07/2011	04/14/2011	Dan Burns	04/07-04/08 CalDeSal Meeting in Redondo Beach, CA-Travel Expenses	186.54
51625	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Harold A. Steuber Enterprises, Inc.	Coffee Supplies for Eng & O&M Depts	249.65
51626	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	McGrath Rent Corp.	Mobile Modular for Regional Project	210.01
51627	03/25/2011	04/14/2011	Airtech Service	Service & Repair of Beach Office Heating System	5,992.00
51628	03/23/2011	04/14/2011	NCH Corporation	(10)-5-Gal Pails of Flowlube ANSI/NSF 60 Oil	2,791.54
51629	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Darrell Hughes	145 Aaron Way-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51630	03/31/2011	04/14/2011	Kaycie Cota	5100 Coe Ave #155-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51631	04/05/2011	04/14/2011	W.M. Williamson	3137 Seacrest Ave #30-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51632	04/04/2011	04/14/2011	Ken Wilcox	255 Hillcrest Ave-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51633	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	CA State Disbursement Unit	PR Batch 902 4 2011	581.07
51634	04/15/2011	04/14/2011	Principal Life Group	PR Batch 902 4 2011	130.66
51635		VOID			
51636	03/08/2011	04/14/2011	Monterey Bay Water Works Assoc	MWWA Tanks-A-Lot Seminar-True	30.00
51637	04/06/2011	04/14/2011	Astrid Lang	3095 Marina Drive #7-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51638	04/10/2011	04/14/2011	Marina Gazette	WCC Vacancy Advertisement	119.46
51639	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Mast Realty	Refund check - 3214 Martin Circle	18.62
51640	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Mast Realty	Refund check - 161 Hilo Avenue	3.42
51641	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Eric Marsh Real Estate	Refund check - 393 Carmel Ave	57.74
51642	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Chin Lee	Refund check - 492 Carmel Ave	35.00
51643	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Elowyne Marquez	Refund check - 250 Cosky Drive	5.70
51644	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Backus Property Mgmt	Refund check - 3225 Vista Del Camino	33.75
51645	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Ray Tseng	Refund check - 337 Reservation Rd	17.11
51646	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Doyle Owens Jr.	Refund check - 3012 Owen Ave	27.49

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51647	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Jack/Yasuko Farrell	Refund check - 3100 Redwood Circle	18.32
51648	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Marina Beach Real Estate	Refund check - 3004 Jean St	103.87
51649	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Marina Beach Real Estate	Refund check - 3149 Messinger Dr	52.96
51650	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Gabino Cruspero Jr.	Refund check - 294 Weber Circle	17.11
51651	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	FOAM-RS	Refund check - Various Locations	1,909.57
51652	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	FOAM-RS	Refund check - 1706 Eichelbelger Ct	83.39
51653	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	FOAM-RS	Refund check - 1826 Wedemeyer Ct	40.67
51654	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Top Grade Construction	Refund check - Hydrant Meter #066	1,374.04
51655	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Munras Property Management	Refund check - 3072 Clarke Place	11.86
51656	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Duran & Vanables Inc.	Refund check - Hydrant Meter #055	1,750.00
51657	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	David Brown	Refund check - 231 Sells Ct	33.58
51658	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Barry Gendelman	Refund check - 102 Dahlia Dr	30.69
51659	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Realty World	Refund check - 330 Reindollar Ave	12.87
51660	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Atlas Premier Properties	Refund check - 3202 White Circle	72.14
51661	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Daniel Mc Cue	Refund check - 4538 Cliff Ct	35.00
51662	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Shaw E&I Inc	Refund check - Hydrant Meter #053	1,750.00
51663	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Shaw E&I Inc	Refund check - Hydrant Meter #065	1,758.10
51664	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Stephanie Ying Ling	Refund check - 3271 Cove Way	35.00
51665	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Shawn Quinn	Refund check - 273 Cosky Dr	29.57
51666	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Casa Da Luz	Refund check - 250-F Reservation Rd	35.00
51667	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	James Suber	Refund check - 3207-A Playa Ct	35.00
51668	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Re/Max	Refund check - 337 Reservation Rd	35.00
51669	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Cathy & Miroslav Peli	Refund check - 731 Bandholtz Ct	35.00
51670	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	John & Marsha Allison	Refund check - 703 Brown Ct	35.00
51671	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Jennifer Syckes	Refund check - 4370 Peninsula Pt Dr	35.00
51672	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Zim Industries Inc.	Refund check - Hydrant Meter #001	1,726.87
51673	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Atlantic & Pacific Real Estate	Refund check - 473 Forest Circle	4.23
51674	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Christopher Gonzalez	Refund check - 708 Bandholtz Ct	153.08
51675	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Devra Aldape	Refund check - 653 Barth Ct	3.93
51676	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	29th St. Single Family	Refund check - 3184 Melanie Rd	35.00
51677	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Katherine Allbright	Refund check - 98 Dahlia Dr	13.64
51678	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Catherine Lim	Refund check - 498 Marsan Ct	36.26
51679	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Raghad Ali	Refund check - 655 Wahl Ct	4.92
51680	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Century 21 Advantage	Refund check - 3141 Shoemaker Pl	35.63
51681	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Pentagon Federal Credit Union	Refund check - 3248 Sandpiper Way	35.00
51682	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	William Morin	Refund check - 4284 Bay Crest Circle	35.00
51683	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Roberta Annett	Refund check - 141 Silverwood Pl	9.20
51684	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Manuel Cortez	Refund check - 3061 Marina Dr	6.02

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51685	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Town N Country Realty	Refund check - 4894 Seacrest Ct	46.36
51686	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Son Gallardo	Refund check - 229 Beach Rd	34.15
51687	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	David Losada	Refund check - 229 Beach Rd	35.00
51688	04/12/2011	04/14/2011	Nancy Bittner	Refund check - 3150 Shuler Circle	35.00
WIRE	04/15/2011	04/20/2011	Internal Revenue Service	PR Batch 901 4 2011 FIT	28,717.28
WIRE	04/15/2011	04/20/2011	State of California - EDD	PR Batch 901 4 2011 SIT	6,441.83
WIRE	04/15/2011	04/20/2011	Other Payroll Deduction	PR Batch 901 4 2011	1,500.00
51689	03/31/2011	04/21/2011	Ace Hardware	General Operations & Maintenance Equipment	797.91
51690	04/03/2011	04/21/2011	Manpower Inc.	Accounting Tech 03/28-03/31, 04/04-04/08	1,933.20
51691	04/08/2011	04/21/2011	Fort Ord Reuse Authority	MCWD Cell Tower Developer Fee	55.61
51692	04/07/2011	04/21/2011	AT&T	271-3430 Water Telemetry	102.55
51693	04/13/2011	04/21/2011	Pitney Bowes Credit Corp	Postage Machine Lease 04/30/11-06/30/11	1,170.07
51694	04/06/2011	04/21/2011	PG&E	Gas/Electric 2840 4th Ave, Well #11, Pump Groundwater, L/S #2, Main Office, Seawtr Desalin TN Plan, L/S #6, Whse, Booster Pumps, Booster Station, Well #9, Well #10, L/S #5, Beach Range, Gas/Electric L/S #5790, L/S#514, L/S #6143, L/S #5447, L/S #5398, Wtr Treat #4977, Wtr Treat #4974, L/S #8775, L/S #4906, L/S #530, L/S #528, Well #31, Booster #E, Booster #D, Booster #B, L/S #6634, L/S #5713, Booster #F, Well #29, New Booster D/E, Booster Pump Bldg #122, L/S #7698, L/S #5871, L/S #5990, Well #30, CA Ave, L/S #3	38,675.14
51695	03/31/2011	04/21/2011	ACWA Joint Power Ins Authority	Workers Compensation Insurance 01/2011-03/2011	12,228.00
51696	05/01/2011	04/21/2011	ACWA Health Benefits Authority	Medical Insurance Premium 05/2011	54,600.01
51697	04/06/2011	04/21/2011	Monterey Peninsula Engineering	Street Repair at DeForest & Costa Del Mar due to Water Main Repair	1,000.00
51698	03/31/2011	04/21/2011	CA Urban Water Conser. Council	2011 CA Urban Water Conservation Council Dues	2,672.04
51699	04/14/2011	04/21/2011	Groundwater Resources of CA	Membership Dues-Gustafson	110.00
51700	04/07/2011	04/21/2011	Orkin Pest Control	Pest Control at Beach Office	77.28
51701	04/15/2011	04/21/2011	Federal Express	Shipping Charges	25.64
51702	04/07/2011	04/21/2011	The Maynard Group	New DSL Line Installation at Beach Office	350.71
51703	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Mid Peninsula Mgmt - Preston	674 Wahl Ct-Toilet Rebate	392.00
51704	03/24/2011	04/21/2011	Groeniger & Company	Annual Maintenance Stock Parts for O&M, Hydrant Maintenance, (20)-G5 Valve Boxes with Lids, (4)-2" Elliptical Meter Flanges for O&M Stock	52,824.61
51705	04/05/2011	04/21/2011	CDW Government Inc	Renewal of 52 McAfee Anti-Virus License Subscription	1,353.52
51706	04/15/2011	04/21/2011	CalPERS	PR Batch 902 4 2011	15,659.19
51707	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Canon Financial Services, Inc.	6800/5050 Copy Machines Lease 04/2011	749.56

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51708	04/19/2011	04/21/2011	Special District Association	SDA Dinner Meeting-Lee	25.00
51709		VOID			
51710	04/15/2011	04/21/2011	Rabobank, N.A. - IOP Loan	IOP Construction Loan Interest Payment	1,680.12
51711	03/31/2011	04/21/2011	Brittell Environmental Corp.	Hazardous Waste Disposal O&M Dept	1,938.39
51712	04/05/2011	04/21/2011	Ray Tseng	239 Michele Ct-Toilet Rebate	115.64
51713	04/05/2011	04/21/2011	James Shatusky	364 Everett Dr-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51714	04/05/2011	04/21/2011	Victor Mendez	470 Reindollar Ave-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51715	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Virginia Meachum	3162 Crescent Ave-Toilet Rebate	250.00
51716	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Jeff Perrine	3198 De Forest Rd-Toilet Rebate	125.00
51717	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Esther Gonzalez	666 Horn Ct-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51718	03/30/2011	04/21/2011	Green Rubber-Kennedy AG	Sprayer Gun for O&M Dept	79.40
51719	04/07/2011	04/21/2011	Public Agency Retirement Services	Retirement Contribution Fees 02/2011	327.81
51720	04/01/2011	04/21/2011	Troutman Sanders Public Affairs Grp LLC	Retention of TS Public Affairs 04/2011	6,110.00
51721	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Marina Square Apartments	269 Reservation Rd. #218-Toilet Rebate	75.12
51722	04/12/2011	04/21/2011	Bank of the West	Document Storage Lease Payment 04/12/11	3,165.83
51723	04/19/2011	04/21/2011	U.S. Green Building Council	USGBC National Membership Renewal-Heitzman	500.00
51724	04/26/2011	04/21/2011	Rabobank, N.A. - Pers Loan	CalPERS Loan Payment 04/26/11	10,231.70
51725	05/01/2011	04/21/2011	Costco	Costco Executive Business Membership Renewal	150.00
51726	01/31/2011	04/27/2011	City of Marina	Franchise Fee Water 01/2011 -03/2011	10,069.85
51727	04/20/2011	04/27/2011	Delta Rubber Company Inc	Fire Hose & Couplers for O&M Stock	147.33
51728	04/18/2011	04/27/2011	Monterey Pen Unified Sch Dist	Science Teacher for Water Conservation Education	6,031.47
51729	01/31/2011	04/27/2011	Fort Ord Reuse Authority	Franchise Fee Water 01/2011-03/2011	48,199.36
51730	03/24/2011	04/27/2011	Peninsula Communications	(2)-Batteries, (1)-Motorola ex560 xls Hanheld	987.02
51731	02/24/2011	04/27/2011	Denise Duffy & Associates Inc	Annexation of the Ord Community	1,343.43
51732	04/14/2011	04/27/2011	AT&T	384-6131 Main Office New DSL Line	247.90
51733	04/25/2011	04/27/2011	Cash	Replenish Petty Cash	118.22
51734	03/28/2011	04/27/2011	Noland, Hamerly, Etienne	General Business, Recycled Water Fees, Regional Project, Ag Land Trust v MCWD, IOP, CEMEX Lapis Site, Implementation of the Regional Desalination Project, Outfall Hydraulic Flow Analysis, WSA Redevelopment, Internal District Matters/Public Request, Sunset Place, Marina Redevelopment, CPCFA Meetings (Evans Group), Seaside Land Transfer, CHOMP, Cemetary, Ft. Ord Legal Fees	53,354.84
51735	04/11/2011	04/27/2011	Environmental Resource Assoc	Proficiency Test Water Source 177 & Water Pollution 195	869.77
51736	03/29/2011	04/27/2011	Staples Credit Plan	Office Supplies for Adm, Lab	1,283.31
51737	04/11/2011	04/27/2011	Martin A Rosales	04/11-04/14 CWEA Conference Meals	106.54
51738	04/21/2011	04/27/2011	HD Supply Waterworks	(50)-3/4"x 7.5" AMR and (1)-2" 3G MJ for Marina C/O	11,198.24
51739	04/18/2011	04/27/2011	Peninsula Fence Company	Fence & Gate Install at Bayonet Reservoir	2,189.00

Check #	Invoice Date	Check Date	Vendor Name	Description - April 2011	Amount
51740	04/20/2011	04/27/2011	Groeniger & Company	(8)-G5 Cast Iron Lids for O&M Stock, MJ Flange/Hydrant Bury Parts for Hydrant Annual Maintenance Project	3,145.36
51741	04/11/2011	04/27/2011	Jose Rodriguez	04/11-04/14 CWEA Conference Meals	49.26
51742	04/10/2011	04/27/2011	Martin B Feeney, PG, CHg	Well #34 Prop 50 Construction/Implementation	42,621.25
51743	04/18/2011	04/27/2011	Hoge Fenton Jones & Appel	Review of Teamsters Unit Modification to Public Employee Relations Board	162.50
51744	04/05/2011	04/27/2011	Ground Zone LLC	Well #32 Replacement / Eastern Distribution System	4,020.50
51745	05/01/2011	04/27/2011	ACWA Health Benefits Authority (EAP)	May 2011 Premium for Employee Assistance Program	123.90
51746	03/31/2011	04/27/2011	Luhdorff and Sealmanini Consulting Engineers In	Watkins Gate Well Investigation (Prop 50 Construction/Implementation)	2,588.94
51747	04/19/2011	04/27/2011	Donald Hoover	3203 White Cir-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51748	04/19/2011	04/27/2011	Benny Pangdan	3020 Max Cir-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51749	04/19/2011	04/27/2011	Randy Edwards	3006 Parson Cir-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51750	04/19/2011	04/27/2011	Cypress Gates Inc	299 Carmel Ave #42-Toilet Rebate	125.00
51751	04/14/2011	04/27/2011	Jennifer G Osian	3216 Martin Cir-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51752	04/19/2011	04/27/2011	Eric Gonzalez	663 Bailey Ct-Washing Machine Rebate	125.00
51753	03/14/2011	04/27/2011	Marina Self Storage	224 Reindollar Ave #5-B-Toilet Rebate	1,261.00
51754	04/11/2011	04/27/2011	Jose Pineda	04/11-04/14 CWEA Conference Meals	88.41
51755	03/12/2011	04/27/2011	U.S. Bank Corporate	Replacement Blue Tooth for District Phone, Luncheon Meetings, Board & Employee Travel/Conferences, American Academy of Environmental Engineers Membership Renewal-Niizawa, 5 Port Switch/LAN Cables for HP Color Printer, Constant Contact Service, Portable External CD Drive for Toshiba Laptop, Regional Desal Project PMA Discussion Conference Call, Conference Call - Application Update with CPCFA, Water Well Pump Performance CD for Engineering Department	6,882.14
51756	04/20/2011	04/27/2011	Sun Life Financial	Life, Short/Long Term Insurance 05/2011	1,894.30
51757	04/22/2011	04/27/2011	Marina Tire & Auto Repair	Tire Repair & Oil Change on Vehicle #0504	86.03
51758-51760	04/22/2011	04/29/2011	Payroll Checks and Direct Deposits	PR Batch 903 04 2011 Checks and Direct Deposit (3 checks)	74,554.93
				Total Disbursements April 2011	1,798,064.42

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-D

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of February 22, 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached draft minutes of February 22, 2011.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: At the April 12, 2011 meeting the Board of Directors asked that staff review the tapes, make several corrections to the minutes, and return them to the next meeting for consideration.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: ___ Yes ___X___ No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of February 22, 2011.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the February 22, 2011 special Board meeting.

Action Required: ___ Resolution ___X___ Motion ___ Review

Board Action

___ Resolution No ___ Motion By ___ Seconded By ___

Ayes ___ Abstained ___

Noes ___ Absent ___

Reagendized ___ Date ___ No Action Taken ___

Marina Coast Water District

District Offices
11 Reservation Road
Marina, California

Special Board Meeting
February 22, 2011
6:45 p.m.

Draft Minutes

1. Call to Order:

President Lee called the meeting to order at 6:45 p.m. on February 22, 2011.

2. Roll Call:

Board Members Present:

Bill Lee – President
Dan Burns – Vice President
Howard Gustafson
Jan Shriener

Board Members Absent:

One vacant seat

Staff Members Present:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager
Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel
Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services
Suresh Prasad, Director of Finance
Richard Youngblood, Conservation Coordinator
Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist
James Derbin, Operations and Maintenance Superintendent
Brian True, Capital Projects Manager
Paula Riso, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk

Audience Members:

Bob Holden, MRWPCA
Dave and Robin McCall, Marina Residents
Tom Moore, Marina Resident
Andy Sterbenz, Schaaf & Wheeler
Larry Parsons, Monterey Herald
Wendy Askew, Marina Resident
Luana Conley, Marina Resident
Lyndel Melton, RMC Water & Environment
Amy White, Monterey County LandWatch
Patty Cramer, Marina Resident
Charline Espinoza, Marina Resident

Mike & Candy Owen, Marina Residents
Richard Newhouse, Marina Resident
Candy Ingram, CCVCF
George Riley, Monterey Resident
Dan Amadeo, Marina Resident
Kenneth Nishi, Marina Resident
Janet Parks, CCVCF President
Bob Drake, Marina Resident
Laura Dickey, Marina Resident
Patty Bradshaw, Marina Resident
Derrick Nakanishi, CCVCF

3. Pledge of Allegiance:

President Lee asked Mr. Mike Owen to lead everyone present in the pledge of allegiance.

4. Oral Communications:

Mr. George Riley, Monterey resident, urged the Board to consider televising the Board meetings so everyone on the Peninsula has a chance to see what is going on, especially with the Regional Desalination Project.

5. Action Item:

A. Consider Appointment of Applicant to Fill the Existing Vacated Seat on the Board of Directors through November 2012:

Ms. Luana Conley, Marina resident, recommended the Board appoint Tom Moore to the vacant seat on the Board.

Ms. Patty Cramer, Marina resident, voiced her support of Tom Moore for the vacant seat on the Board.

Mr. Riley stated that when he saw the meeting material the other day, there were only two applicants and questioned how Mr. Ken Nishi's application came to be added. President Lee commented that there was not a cut-off for the submittal of applications and they would be accepted right up to the meeting time. Mr. Nishi's application arrived after the packet was published.

Director Shriner asked what the next step was if there was difficulty making an appointment due to the even number of Board members. Mr. Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel, answered that the Board has sixty days, from the time a written resignation is received, to make an appointment or set an election. If nothing is done within that timeframe, for a District located wholly within a City, the City Council makes the determination, otherwise the Board of Supervisors does. Mr. Lowrey stated that this District has a unique circumstance because its political boundary is located wholly within a City; it owns property located outside the City; and, it serves by an Agreement that was approved by a number of jurisdictions, an area equivalent to the area within the District's jurisdiction. From a conservative view, Mr. Lowrey commented that there is the chance that the Marina City Council would make the appointment. Mr. Lowrey commented that the Board needed to make a decision as they were elected to exercise the judgment for their constituents and urged them to do so.

Director Shriner acknowledged the many letters and emails supporting Tom Moore for the appointment.

Agenda Item 5-A (continued):

Director Shriner made a motion to appoint Tom Moore to the vacant seat on the Board of Directors. The motion died for lack of a second.

Director Gustafson made a motion to appoint Kenneth Nishi to finish off the term. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed with one vacant seat.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes

Mr. Jim Heitzman, General Manager, gave the Oath of Office to Mr. Kenneth Nishi after which Director Nishi assumed his seat at the dais.

B. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-18 to Amend the Check Signing Policy:

Ms. Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administration, introduced this item stating that the Director of Administration will replace the Director of Finance as a check signer.

Director Shriner asked if there was any consideration for the Director of Finance being the signer with the Director of Administration position as back-up. Ms. Cadiente answered that as the head position in the Accounting Department, the Director of Administration would be the signer and the Director of Finance would be a back-up to process checks. This would ensure a check and balance in the Accounting Department.

Director Shriner asked if this would also amend any other documents or just the separate policy. Ms. Cadiente answered that it was just updating the Check Signing Policy.

Director Gustafson made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-18 to amending the Check Signing Policy. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

C. Request for Board Direction to Staff on Working with the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation:

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item explaining that staff has been talking with various parties regarding horse trails, historical buildings and property, as well as the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation. The Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation has requested assistance with building their cemetery.

Agenda Item 5-C (continued):

Mr. Heitzman asked the Board if they would like staff to continue talking with the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation in a more formal manner and asked the Board to provide direction.

Ms. Janet Parks, Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation President, commented that the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation needs to raise \$800,000 by April 30, 2011 to remain on the State's budget and for them to ask the Federal Government for a grant to build the cemetery at Fort Ord. Ms. Parks stated that the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation is asking the District's help in funding the \$800,000 although they do not have any collateral as the land belongs to the City of Seaside who will turn it over to the State when the cemetery is built.

Mr. Derrick Nakanishi, Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation volunteer, clarified that the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation is looking for a loan of \$800,000. Once the cemetery is built, the funds will be paid back with interest. Mr. Nakanishi added that they are expecting the funds to be paid back in two to three years.

Vice President Burns commented that he was in favor of the cemetery, but asked how the District would become the funding mechanism for the cemetery. Mr. Heitzman commented that staff is asking for direction from the Board to explore possibilities on how to fund this. There will be many restrictions on the District loaning funds but staff would like to opportunity to look at options.

Director Gustafson explained that the original maintenance cost for the project was \$3.5 million, but by raising the burial rates from \$350 to \$700, the amount now needed is \$1.25 million. Phase I of the project requires \$800,000 to be secured and \$425,000 will be remaining for the rest of the project. Director Gustafson stated that the funds would be paid back through burial rates. He added that negotiations with the Foundation need to be started so they can secure the deed and that will help in getting the public more involved.

President Lee asked for clarification that if 1,000 plots were sold, \$700,000 would be raised. Mr. Heitzman commented that the deal is not to be decided this evening. Director Nishi clarified that staff is only asking for approval to talk with the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation. It would be brought back to the Board when and if a decision regarding a loan is required.

Mr. Nakanishi clarified that the \$800,000 would not be reimbursed through burial fees, it would come from the Federal Government to the State in one lump sum within two to three years.

Mr. Heitzman commented that there may be some costs incurred through staff investigating the ability to do this loan and it may include some legal services.

Agenda Item 5-C (continued):

President Lee asked if the District would be reimbursed for those costs. Mr. Heitzman answered that the Board could ask to be compensated for those costs.

Director Shriner voiced her concern over the appearance of propriety and questioned if the District could make the explorations in good conscience that the funds will be coming from the Marina ratepayers and not from the Line of Credit with California American Water Company for the desalination project. Mr. Heitzman asked for clarification. Director Shriner asked for a guarantee that the funds for staff and legal services to explore the possibility of a loan to the Central Coast Veterans Cemetery Foundation will not come from the Peninsula but from the Marina ratepayers. Mr. Heitzman answered affirmatively.

Director Shriner made a motion for staff to explore the concept in hopes that it might serve as community outreach for the Marina Coast Water District. Director Gustafson seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

D. Direct Staff to Respond to the City of Marina Regarding the Coastal Development Permit for the Regional Desalination Project:

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item explaining that the Regional Project asked the City of Marina to send out comfort letters regarding the Consolidated Coastal Develop Permit. Marina City Council members had questions and requested, 1) a letter from the District Board, 2) a response to several letters written to the Council, and, 3) a letter requesting a local meeting. The Board, staff and public were given copies of the draft letters.

Ms. Amy White, Monterey County LandWatch, commented that the Marina City Council was concerned by the letters received from LandWatch, the Sierra Club, and the law offices of Michael Stamp. Ms. White explained that the reason for the letters was because the documentation presented to the City Council was different than what the PUC approved, i.e. differences in well locations and pipeline configurations. Ms. White asked if the District was going to pursue a consolidated permit with the Coastal Commission as the lead agency, would those hearings take place in Monterey County. LandWatch has talked with Coastal Commission staff and it is hard for them to assure that the hearings would be held locally which would limit public participation.

Ms. White added that LandWatch is covering the cost of filming and broadcasting this meeting.

Agenda Item 5-D (continued):

Mr. Dan Amadeo, Marina resident, commented that he was at the City Council meeting and part of the concern was that the letters were received by the City Council very late and the members did not have time to read them and digest what was in the letters. Mr. Amadeo said he thought the concern with the City Council is with the Public Utilities Commission being the lead agency. There is concern if the City of Marina, being most impacted by the construction of the project, would have an opportunity to voice any concerns, opinions or recommendations as the project moves forward. Mr. Amadeo stated that Mr. Lyndel Melton, RMC Water and Environment, answered that ~~they~~ the City of Marina would. He supported the letter requesting local public hearings and hoped the City of Marina approved the Coastal Commission permits as that is the right thing to do.

Vice President Burns asked for clarification on the permit consolidation. Mr. Heitzman answered that it included all three parties as the project goes from the south to the north of the Peninsula. The Coastal Commission is involved because the wells and a large part of the pipeline are in their area of jurisdiction and they would like a word on the project. The Coastal Commission also indicated that there would be a local meeting.

Mr. Heitzman commented that the first time the City of Marina was asked for a “comfort letter” was in 2009 and other jurisdictions have provided those letters upon the District’s request. The Coastal Commission prefers a consolidated permit and the City of Marina will still have a say when the encroachment permits comes through.

Director Gustafson commented that these letters were a typical late hour document dump. If they were truly concerned with the subject matter of those letters, they would have produced those documents in a timely manner for true transparency. Director Gustafson reiterated that in 2009 the District made the request to the City and they are costing the ratepayers money with their delay. He commented that the well location was a past desalination plant with a well that was on it already. Director Gustafson stated that he would recommend no response to any of the letters because the District has gone through a number of discourses through the public at the REPOG meetings.

Director Shriner commented that she was on the Public Works in May 2009 and had the approved minutes showing that the Public Works approved the revised recycled water pipeline route through the City of Marina, an allocation of 300 acre-feet to the City of Marina, and to hold a public outreach workshop. Director Shriner commented that to her knowledge there has not been a public outreach workshop.

Director Nishi questioned what the Public Works recycled water recommendation has to do with this item. Director Shriner commented that it was because several questions that came forward at the Marina City Council were regarding public participation.

Agenda Item 5-D (continued):

Director Nishi asked what that has to do with the Public Works agenda item referring to the recycled water. Director Shriner answered that two years ago the District was asked to hold a public outreach workshop and then it was to go the City Council for reassurance. President Lee explained that Director Shriner was talking about Regional Urban Water Augmentation Project (RUWAP) which was the recycled water project and that is different than the desalination project.

Director Shriner commented that on page 94 of the January 11, 2011 Board meeting, it says that the General Manager and staff will take action for a conditional project approval, but it does not specify that consultants will carryout that request for consolidation. On page 124 of the Water Purchase Agreement, it specifies monthly reports, and Director Shriner questioned where the reports are, and, on page 135 of the Water Purchase Agreement, it specifies a Community Involvement Forum. Director Shriner asked when the Community Involvement Forum is scheduled and when the date is for the workshop on the recycled water pipeline.

Director Shriner stated that the information in this packet is the information she was looking for in her email to staff on January 30, 2011. On February 8, she was told it was being worked on, on February 11th some of it was distributed through the Marina City Council packets, and on February 15th some of it, including these letters, was discussed at the City Council meeting. Director Shriner commented that it would only be fair if the Directors of this Board would have the information in a public meeting before their public forums. Director Shriner commented that on page 79 of this packet, specifies the local coastal program as an entity for requesting consolidation, is this the City of Marina? Is this the step RMC Water and Environment is on as a part of the next item on the agenda?

Director Nishi asked what the point Director Shriner is trying to convey. Director Shriner answered that there hasn't been the public participation that they have been promised. Director Nishi stated that he isn't sure what Director Shriner is trying to convey and referring to pages in past packets is making it difficult to follow. Director Shriner ~~said she passed~~ was passing to Director Nishi copies of the documents she referred to. Director Nishi said he still doesn't understand what point she is trying to make. Vice President Burns agreed. He said that the Board is talking about desalination and Director Shriner is talking about RUWAP. Vice President Burns stated that he isn't sure what Director Shriner is talking about other than her doing a bunch of research on something she thinks is relevant and he doesn't. Director Shriner said that she and the letters think the public deserves more participation in RUWAP and desalination. Director Nishi commented that it has been the intent of this Board to have that. Director Nishi stated that Director Shriner has only been on the Board less than three months and in time will see that the Board tries to be as transparent as any other agency. He wishes they can all work together and move forward to give the ratepayers the best product.

Agenda Item 5-D (continued):

Director Nishi voiced his concern that people come to these meeting and make false statements and the District doesn't correct them. For instance, that LandWatch is saying that the project is different than what was approved by the PUC and the District doesn't say it is or isn't true. Director Nishi said the District needs to clear the minds of the people on what the truth is and if the wells are substantially different. Mr. Lowrey commented that the answers to those questions are contained in the administrative drafts and responses. Director Nishi commented that the people at home don't have the letters and he would like to see a response to the statements made. He agreed with Director Gustafson that games are being played and it is costing the ratepayers money.

Mr. Lyndel Melton, RMC Water and Environment (RMC), commented that fundamentally, the wells are exactly as defined by the PUC. As within the PUC order, a test slant well on the District property will be constructed. The other test well on the drawings is shown within the zone, identified within the EIR, which is currently proposed to be located at the CEMEX property. Mr. Melton stated that the only possible change that someone might construe is the pipeline down Dunes Road. If you look at the appendix of the EIR you will see it is included because that entire reach was identified as a reach for project facilities wells to be located. Mr. Melton stated it goes from the District's property to the CEMEX property and that is exactly where the wells are located, nothing has changed in that regard. Director Nishi commented that it was his understanding on the pipeline that wasn't included, it might be economically feasible to go another route which is why it was in there. Mr. Melton clarified that in an effort to be transparent to the public, it was identified on the drawing that they might want to put a pipeline down that road, although it was very clearly identified in the EIR that the alternative pipeline would be run from the District offices up Reservation Road following TAMC right-of-way to the plant. Another pipeline route was going down Dunes Road and up to the plant. Mr. Melton stated that the alternative would be to put in two pipelines. By putting in this little piece of pipe, millions of dollars in capital costs could be saved. Director Nishi said that he wished this information could have been given at the City Council meeting.

President Lee said he was surprised to hear a comment that this had never been before the Board before and asked Mr. Melton to clarify how it was brought several times before this Board and the County Supervisors for approval. Mr. Melton answered that the entire project has been approved by this Board, the Board of Supervisors, and California American Water Company. All three have executed the Water Purchase Agreement which lays out very clearly the processes that are going to be utilized, how things are going to be done on a day-to-day basis, and how they will come back to this Board for various types of decisions. Mr. Melton stated that the fact they are pursuing a consolidated permit process is completely consistent with all the communications they have with the Board.

Agenda Item 5-D (continued):

Director Nishi commented that all the Directors have been given a copy of the Water Purchase Agreement and they should know that was the process that was approved. Director Nishi stated that to go before the City Council as a member of the Board of Directors and say that the Board did not approve it is blatantly false.

Director Shriner commented that page 124 specifies a monthly report and questioned when it would be given to the Board. Mr. Heitzman answered that a monthly report would be provided once a Project Management Agreement is in place. A monthly report is already given to the Advisory Committee. Director Shriner asked if the Board can receive that report. Mr. Heitzman answered that once the Project Management Agreement is signed there will be a consultant to provide the report. Director Shriner questioned the Community Involvement Forum on page 135 of the Water Purchase Agreement, and asked who was in charge of scheduling that. Mr. Heitzman answered that the scheduling is up to the Community Involvement Forum facilitator that RMC has already selected. Director Shriner questioned if a date has been scheduled. Mr. Melton answered that the first meeting is tentatively scheduled for March 31st.

Director Shriner stated that on January 11th, the Board approved the General Manager and staff to take action for the conditional project approval. She questioned how it switched to RMC. Mr. Lowrey answered that the Board previously authorized agreements with RMC to authorize engineering services in support of the staff's efforts with funding coming from the source previously approved, the Line of Credit. When the General Manager is directed by the Board to do something, there are a number of resources to draw on. The General Manager can draw on in-house staff or consultants for the assistance he needs. Mr. Lowrey explained that for several months if not years, the Board has had in place a series of agreements with RMC to provide support for the General Manager on this project. Director Shriner stated the approval given in January "directed the General Manager and staff to take all other actions that may be necessary to effectuate and implement the resolution and Conditional Project Approval" and questioned if the ball was passed to the consultant. Mr. Lowrey answered that "passed the ball" isn't appropriate as the General Manager has an additional resource available to him to implement this.

Director Nishi voiced his concern that Director Shriner is wasting their time with her lack of knowledge of how things work. The Board makes policy and hires a General Manager who implements that policy. Director Nishi commented that in his twenty years, this is the first time they have gone from Directors asking these specific questions. Director Nishi commented that what he is seeing is the same flow that is occurring at the City of Marina. There is a group of people that hire professionals and the layman, who has no expertise, takes up everyone's time to be brought up to speed. Director Nishi hopes staff hurries up and gets a Board workshop together to initiate educating everyone about the rules of the Directors, staff, attorney, consultants, etc. and the most efficient way to implement that.

Agenda Item 5-D (continued):

Mr. Lowrey stated that the City Council made some specific requests from this Board and it is appropriate to give them courteous and thoughtful responses. He added that staff has done their best to put together those responses and even if tweaked slightly, the substance to be in those letters is before the Board.

Director Nishi questioned what staff was recommending. Mr. Heitzman stated that staff recommends the Board give direction on the draft letters and staff finalize and send the three letters in response to the City Council request.

Director Nishi made a motion to direct staff to finalize the draft letters and send them in response to the City of Marina regarding the Coastal Development Permit for the Regional Desalination Project. Director Gustafson seconded the motion. Director Shriner commented that the public outreach has not been addressed in these letters. The outreach workshop that was mentioned in 2009, and the March 31st workshop are not mentioned in the letters. Director Shriner stated she cannot support this action. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

E. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-19 to Authorize a Project Management Agreement with RMC Water and Environment for Program Management of the Regional Desalination Project:

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item explaining that this is a request to formalize a Project Management Agreement (PMA) that exists between the Monterey County Water Resources Agency, California American Water Company, Marina Coast Water District, and RMC. This Agreement will take the project from where it is now to when it is producing water and the final acceptance. Mr. Heitzman stated that RMC was picked by the Advisory Committee as it was determined that their knowledge of the technical issues and the institutional settings was important, as well as their intimate knowledge of the project partners, and RMC has proven their ability to keep the project on schedule and they have dedicated key personnel to this project. Mr. Lowrey commented that Task Order 1 was distributed shortly after the packet was sent out and it would be the kind of action and document that the General Manager will sign and act on if the Board approves the Resolution authorizing the General Manager to execute the PMA substantially in the form presented to the Directors, and to take all other actions and execute all other documents as may be necessary or appropriate to give effect to this resolution and the PMA.

Agenda Item 5-E (continued):

Mr. Riley stated that he continues to congratulate the District for taking the lead, the risk and the political rations they get for taking the leadership on this. He also congratulated Lyndel Melton and the rest of the RMC staff. Mr. Riley commented that he has the utmost respect for Mr. Melton as he is always thorough, always a gentlemen, always accessible, always clear, and you can depend on him in many ways.

Mr. Tom Moore, Marina resident, questioned who would be the lead agency should an addendum need to be made to the project or Environmental Impact Report and would it have to go back to the Public Utilities Commission. Mr. Moore also stated that he would have liked this item to be presented at a regular Board meeting to allow more time for everyone to review the lengthy document as this is the second largest amount the District has ever approved.

Vice President Burns asked where the funds will come from for this Agreement. Mr. Heitzman answered that the funds would come from the Line of Credit with California American Water Company until the bonds are sold this summer. If the Line of Credit runs out of available funds, the project will be stalled. Mr. Heitzman commented that all three agencies are aware of the critical timeframe of the Peninsula's water source as 2016 and they are working on keeping to a rigid schedule to ensure that the timeframe is met with the next step in moving this project along; bonding.

Director Shriner questioned that of the \$28 million, the District's share is \$20 million and California American Water's share is \$1.2 million. Mr. Heitzman stated that was correct. Director Shriner asked if the permit and mitigation fees were included in this amount. Mr. Heitzman answered that this fee was just to get to the permit stage and the District has to pay for its permitting fees, just as the Monterey County Water Resources Agency, and California American Water has to pay for theirs. Director Shriner asked if it included right-of-way or easement costs. Mr. Heitzman stated that each agency has to pay those fees themselves. Director Shriner questioned other costs and Mr. Melton answered that those costs are not included in the Agreement, but local contractors will be used when the time comes.

Director Shriner questioned the word "shall" on page 106 of the packet, Section 12.1.3, last sentence of the first paragraph, and asked if the word meant that the District has to approve all contract change orders. Mr. Lowrey clarified that the way the resolution is written and intended to be interpreted, is that the General Manager is authorized and directed to take the actions and execute the document to implement this, subject to the financial restraints that have been placed on it. The General Manager would be able to come back at any time to seek guidance from the Board, but is not intended that the Board would be involved in all the technical details of implementing the agreements. Mr. Lowrey stated that it is intended that the District's General Manager, by virtue of the authority given in the resolution, would take those actions and report back to the Board.

Agenda Item 5-E (continued):

Mr. Heitzman commented that if there are small change orders, the person in the authority seat for the District would make those decisions. If it is a large issue that affects all three parties, the change order would go to the Advisory Committee and if all three agree, it moves forward. Mr. Heitzman added that if they don't agree, it goes back to the respective Boards for a decision. Award of a substantial contract would come before the Board to be formalized.

Director Shriner suggested ~~striking the phrase~~ replacing the sentence "MCWD shall approve all contract change orders prior to issuance by Project Manager." with "All changes will be subject to approval by the (MCWD) Board". She indicated that her concern is that the word "shall" means that MCWD is required to approve the change orders. Director Lee commented that sentence means that the District has the authority not that a requirement to do so. Mr. Lowrey answered that the ~~phrase-sentence~~ should be kept in place because the Board delegates authority to the General Manager who oversees the work of the Project Manager in the process previously discussed by Mr. Heitzman.

Director Nishi made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-19 authoring a Project Management Agreement with RMC Water and Environment for Program Management of the Regional Desalination Project. Director Gustafson seconded the motion. Director Shriner asked if the permitting matrix, as shown on page 78 of the packet, could be added to the motion. Director Nishi stated that he made a motion to approve Resolution No. 2011-19. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

6. Director's Comments:

Director Shriner asked to have added to the agendas in the future, possibly in place of the old committees, the construction schedules, the permitting matrix, the construction costs, the master project schedule, and the processing changes. Mr. Heitzman commented that if the consultant is required to put together a report for every agenda, there could be added costs for the extra work. President Lee suggested that when the reports are provided to the General Manager, he could provide those to the Board for informational purposes.

Vice President Burns asked to put Director Shriner's request on the next agenda for the Board to vote on whether they want it or not.

Director Gustafson voiced his disappointment that a Director made a comment at a Council meeting, saying that the Council should "go get them".

Agenda Item 5-E (continued):

Director Nishi wanted to reinforce the idea that the District hold a Strategic Plan to work on teambuilding and goals. In the meantime, he suggested adding to the agenda, excerpts from the Board Procedures Manual for discussion on the roles of the Board.

Director Nishi commented that the Board is still going back down into the minutia and asking questions that shouldn't be asked.

Director Nishi made a comment to Mr. Riley that the District hired the General Manager and there were concerns by a councilmember in Monterey about the District's expertise in being able to do a project this size. As a prime example; a question was asked and the General Manager asked the consultant to answer the question. Director Nishi stated that he hopes this reinforces that the District is going to produce this project at the best cost that it can, and if costs escalate, it is not because the District failed, it is because of the people that want to stall this out. Every time it is stalled it will cost people a lot more money. Director Nishi stated that he hopes that people on the Monterey Peninsula understand they are hurting themselves. The sooner this thing gets going, the sooner it will be a better life for people.

7. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

APPROVED:

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-E

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of March 29, 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached draft minutes of March 29, 2011.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District’s expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: _____Yes X No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of March 29, 2011.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the March 29, 2011 special Board meeting.

Action Required: _____Resolution X Motion _____Review

Board Action

_____Resolution No_____ Motion By_____ Seconded By_____

Ayes_____ Abstained_____

Noes_____ Absent_____

Reagendized_____ Date_____ No Action Taken_____

Marina Coast Water District

District Offices
11 Reservation Road
Marina, California

Special Board Meeting
March 29, 2011
5:30 p.m.

Draft Minutes

1. Call to Order:

President Lee called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. on March 29, 2011.

2. Roll Call:

Board Members Present:

Bill Lee – President
Dan Burns – Vice President
Howard Gustafson
Kenneth K. Nishi
Jan Shriner

Board Members Absent:

None.

Staff Members Present:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager
Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel
Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services
Suresh Prasad, Director of Finance
Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator
Richard Youngblood, Conservation Coordinator
Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist
James Derbin, Interim Operations and Maintenance Superintendent
Brian True, Capital Projects Manager
Paula Riso, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk

Audience Members:

Bob Holden, MRWPCA
Ruth Krotzer, Marina Resident

The Board entered into closed session at 5:30 p.m.

3. Closed Session:

- A. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6
Conference with Labor Negotiators
Agency designated representatives: William Lee and Dan Burns
Unrepresented employee: General Manager

The Board ended closed session at 6:10 p.m.

President Lee reconvened the meeting to open session at 7:00 p.m.

4. Possible Action on Closed Session Item:

Mr. Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel, stated that the Board met with the negotiators regarding item 3-A and direction was given with no action taken.

5. Pledge of Allegiance:

President Lee asked Mr. Brian True, Capital Projects Manager, to lead everyone present in the pledge of allegiance.

6. Oral Communications:

There were no comments.

7. Action Item:

- D. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-20 to Authorize a Retainer Agreement with Dennis Kennelly, Esq. for Consulting Services Related to the Employment Contract of the General Manager:

Mr. Lowrey noted that there was a revised Resolution on the dais showing a different resolution number, date, and that it is a special meeting.

Director Shriner suggested limiting this agreement to the IRS and Code Compliance, or placing a cap on the amount.

Vice President Burns commented that he expects the attorney to review, comment, and make recommendations on all the items in the General Manager's contract so that these issues don't come up again once the contract is signed.

Mr. Lowrey recommended that Mr. Kennelly be authorized to consider the contract as a whole.

Director Shriner suggested limiting the total amount of the contract with a cap. Mr. Jim Heitzman, General Manager, commented that the retainer for Mr. Kennelly was \$3,200.

Agenda Item 7-A (continued):

Director Shriner asked for clarification on the hourly billing rate. Ms. Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator, stated that if the attorney's time exceeded the \$3,200 retainer, which is equal to eight hours of work, the District would be billed at \$400 an hour. Director Shriner said that she would like the Board to consider putting a limit on the time or amount of money for this contract. Director Nishi inquired on the reason for the limit. Director Shriner answered that it was to be frugal and watch expenses as a District. Director Nishi asked what would happen if the amount went over \$3,200. Director Shriner stated that she wanted to put a limit on the contract so it didn't go on for ten years and for an unlimited amount of money. Director Nishi commented that he didn't believe the contract would go on for ten years as neither Mr. Heitzman nor he would be here in ten years.

Director Gustafson made a motion to adopt the amended Resolution No. 2011-23 to authorize a Retainer Agreement with Dennis Kennelly, Esq. for consulting services related to the employment contract of the General Manager. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

8. Budget Workshop:

- A. Receive District Draft FY 2011-2012 Budgets, Rates, Fees, and Charges for the Marina and Ord Community Service Areas and Provide Direction Regarding Preparation of the Final Budget Documents:

Ms. Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services, introduced this item. She explained that the proposed rate increase is intended to cover the increased expenditures. Several Board members asked clarifying questions.

Vice President Burns asked if it would cause problems to reduce the rate increase to 5% or eliminate it altogether. Mr. Heitzman commented that it could have an impact on the bond rating, but staff can look into extra cutbacks and, upon Board direction, look into not funding the reserves as proposed and using the current reserves to fund projects.

Ms. Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator, reviewed the proposed Human Resources department budget.

Mr. James Derbin, Interim Operations and Maintenance Superintendent, reviewed the proposed Operations and Maintenance department budget.

Agenda Item 8-A (continued):

Mr. Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist, reviewed the proposed Laboratory department budget.

Mr. Richard Youngblood, Water Conservation Coordinator, reviewed the proposed Conservation department budget.

Mr. Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer, reviewed the proposed Engineering department budget.

Ms. Cadiente wrapped up the presentation and noted that following the recommendations from the Directors, a revised budget will be brought before the Board for approval.

Director Shriner inquired on the flavor of the groundwater. Mr. Barkhurst commented that the District does add chlorine to the groundwater to counteract the odor and natural sulfides found in the water. Director Shriner inquired on the automated water meters and asked if they operated on the same technology as the SmartMeters. Mr. Derbin answered that they are within the FCC public spectrum, but probably on a different spectrum from the SmartMeters so they don't interfere with each other. Mr. Derbin added that they operated at 900 megahertz, which is equivalent to a household cordless phone. Director Shriner questioned the carryover of the Regional Urban Water Augmentation Project (RUWAP). Mr. Niizawa answered that Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) can be multi-year and although the RUWAP was budgeted last year, no funds were spent on it so the project was carried over to this year. Director Shriner questioned why the rate increase was proposed if there was \$30 million carried over from last year's budget that wasn't used. Ms. Cadiente answered that with many CIP projects, new funding sources will need to be found to fund the project and they are not funded through the District's rates.

Vice President Burns asked what OPEB stood for. Ms. Cadiente answered it stood for Other Post Employment Benefits. Vice President Burns asked what the monthly meter charge included. Mr. Derbin answered that it included maintenance on the meter if there was a leak or replacement if it wasn't working properly. Mr. Suresh Prasad, Director of Finance, added that according to the best management practices, 30% of rates come from the fixed meter charge and 70% of rates come from volumetric water use. Vice President Burns inquired what the dollar amount for the medical insurance increase was. Ms. Premutati answered it was approximately \$100,000. Mr. Heitzman stated that staff would provide an accurate number to the Board.

Director Nishi commented that he would like to see the Board not fund the reserves this year and to withdraw money from the reserves to help reduce the rate increase to 5%. Director Nishi added that he would like to see a footnote showing that there is \$6 million in pre-effective costs for the Regional Desal Project that is owed to the District. This will let the bond agency know that the District is expecting \$6 million in return.

Agenda Item 8-A (continued):

Director Nishi commented that he had a problem with the 7.8% increase and asked staff to be more creative in this economic downturn. The City of Marina is a working community and the District should be sensitive to their needs. Director Nishi asked staff to return with a 5% or less, increase. Mr. Heitzman commented that staff could get creative to reduce the rate increase, but that the District is also obligated to build several CIP projects and will only build them when they are required, i.e. the East Garrison pump station. Director Nishi commented that staff should know how long it takes to build a project and should coordinate it with the developer so that the project begins when the capacity fees are paid. He also suggested building the pump station on a smaller scale until full build-out is required. Mr. Heitzman commented that staff is already looking into that aspect.

Director Shriner stated that, due to the economic crisis, she preferred that the District look at freezing the Fort Ord Community rates with no increase and a 3.8% increase for the City of Marina.

Director Nishi commented that the District has parameters to work by and the Board needs to give staff leeway to see what they can do without causing financial problems.

Director Gustafson commented that he would have supported the 7.8% but preferred a 5% increase to ensure the bond process is stable.

Mr. Heitzman reminded the Board that although this was a one-year budget, it was a two-year Prop. 218 rate increase process.

President Lee commented that he would like staff to look into the District's power requirements and see if there is some way of using its own power, such as methane gas or solar power.

Director Nishi congratulated staff on a good job and thanked them for reducing Workers' Comp costs and he thanked the engineering staff for reducing the consultant costs. Director Nishi inquired on recycled water for construction water and asked if contractors are still using the hook-ups under the freeway. Mr. Heitzman stated that he did not believe the hook-ups under the freeway were still being used, but he would confirm that with Mr. Derbin the next day.

Vice President Burns commented that although he appreciated the presentation, he would have liked to spend more time on the meat of the budget and see more justifications for the increases.

Director Nishi agreed with Vice President Burns and suggested spending more time on the actual budget and not so much time on the presentation. Director Nishi commented that on page 15, there was a percentage of change and he didn't think it should be compared to the previous adopted budget, but to the estimated actual costs.

Agenda Item 8-A (continued):

Vice President Burns asked if the font could be increased on some of the tables because they were too small to see clearly.

Director Shriner asked if the mobile home park issue had been answered regarding the price owners are allowed to charge tenants. Mr. Lowrey stated that only questions on the budget can be asked at this time. Mr. Heitzman told Director Shriner to send him an email with her question.

9. Director's Comments:

Director Shriner commented that on Thursday, March 31st, there is a Community Involvement Forum for the public on the Regional Desal Project at the Sally Judd Griffin Senior Center in Pacific Grove from 4:00 – 6:00 pm. Director Nishi commented that it was the Meals-on-Wheels building.

Director Nishi thanked staff for purchasing the defibrillator located in the Board Room. Director Nishi asked for a legal opinion on the Brown Act for closed sessions on the next agenda. He also reminded the Directors to park in the open parking spaces and not in the ones marked for customers.

Director Shriner inquired on the response to the 2010 Grand Jury Report. She asked if there had been an extension requested since the responses are due April 11th and the next Board meeting is scheduled for April 12th. Mr. Heitzman stated that he would email a copy of the response to the Board members.

Director Nishi thanked Mr. Niizawa for purchasing the appetizers enjoyed during the break before the meeting.

10. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:37 p.m.

APPROVED:

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-F

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 4, 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached draft minutes of April 4, 2011.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District’s expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: ___Yes ___X___No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of April 4, 2011.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the April 4, 2011 special Board meeting.

Action Required: ___Resolution ___X___Motion ___Review

Board Action

___Resolution No___ Motion By___ Seconded By___

Ayes___ Abstained___

Noes___ Absent___

Reagendized___ Date___ No Action Taken___

Marina Coast Water District

District Offices
11 Reservation Road
Marina, California

Special Board Meeting
April 4, 2011
5:30 p.m.

Draft Minutes

1. Call to Order:

President Lee called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. on April 4, 2011.

2. Roll Call:

Board Members Present:

Bill Lee – President
Dan Burns – Vice President
Howard Gustafson
Kenneth K. Nishi
Jan Shriner

Board Members Absent:

None.

Staff Members Present:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager
Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel – arrived at 5:11 p.m.
Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services
Suresh Prasad, Director of Finance
Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator
Richard Youngblood, Conservation Coordinator
Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist
James Derbin, Interim Operations and Maintenance Superintendent
Brian True, Capital Projects Manager
Paula Riso, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk

Audience Members:

None.

3. Pledge of Allegiance:

President Lee asked Mr. Brian True, Capital Projects Manager, to lead everyone present in the pledge of allegiance.

4. Oral Communications:

None.

5. Action Items:

- E. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-24 to Approve a Financial Advisory Services Agreement with Piper Jaffray:

Ms. Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services, introduced this item.

Vice President Burns questioned the amount listed in the agreement for \$415 million. Ms. Cadiente answered that it is a joint contract and the \$415 million is the total for the entire project that includes the Monterey County Water Resource Agency's bonds.

Director Shriner stated that the Piper Jaffray estimate is in a letter dated December 13, 2010 to Mr. Don Evans and lists an estimated schedule with eight weeks of work. She asked if the work had been started or if it will begin after the approval of the agreement. Ms. Cadiente answered that the work would begin if the agreement is approved.

Director Nishi asked what happens if the consultant begins work before the agreement is approved. Mr. Jim Heitzman, General Manager, answered that if the consultant begins work without an approved agreement, they run the risk of the agreement not being approved and not getting paid for the work performed. Director Nishi commented that he just wanted the Board to understand how it works.

Director Shriner asked if that included the work Don Evans is doing. Ms. Cadiente answered that Mr. Evans doesn't work for Piper Jaffray. Director Nishi stated that Mr. Evans works for the District.

Director Gustafson made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-24 approving a Financial Advisory Services Agreement with Piper Jaffray. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

F. Review District Responses to the 2010 Monterey County Grand Jury Final Report and Provide Direction to the General Manager:

Director Shriner asked if it was possible to submit a minority opinion. Mr. Heitzman answered that the Board works as a whole and per the Board Procedures Manual, the Board votes as a whole and all members will support whatever the decision is.

Director Shriner, referring to page 24, Finding F8.4., commented that if the District is so confident in its project, how does letting the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) have a vote, one vote, on the Advisory Committee make a difference, and how would it impact the District. Mr. Heitzman commented that he sent Director Shriner a link to the Urban Futures Inc. document which explains why no one else can have a vote on the Advisory Committee. Director Shriner stated that she hadn't read the document yet. Mr. Heitzman stated that the MPWMD has a voice through the California American Water Company (CalAm) who does have a vote on the Advisory Committee. He added that the Water Purchase Agreement has been signed and cannot be changed without violating the agreement and making financing improbable.

Director Nishi asked why the MPWMD should be on the Advisory Committee and allowed to vote. Director Shriner answered that the Grand Jury Report suggested it, and, because everyone needs to learn to work together and denying rights and having difficult communication does not help anyone. Director Nishi agreed that everyone has to work together and it needs to start with the District Board working together as a team.

Mr. Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel, arrived at 5:11 p.m.

Director Nishi commented that the MPWMD spent \$500,000 fighting the Regional Desal Project and is still pursuing their own project, so what would be the reason to have them on the Advisory Committee. Director Nishi noted that just because the Grand Jury puts out a report doesn't mean that it is factual. He pointed out that on page 23 under TRANSPARENCY, the report says that "Once the proposed plan is approved by the CPUC and ALJ, the public agencies MCWD, MCWRA, and MRWPCA will conduct their meetings in accordance with California's open meeting law, the Brown Act." Director Nishi asked why the MRWPCA was included, and if they are to be included, why not include the Monterey Regional Waste Management District (MRWMD). This agreement is between the MCWD, CalAm and MCWRA. Director Nishi said that by adding the MRWPCA, it is putting them into a higher area than what they are. If someone outside the area were asked to read the report, they wouldn't realize that it is not what reality on the Peninsula is. Director Nishi added that page 28 lists the required responses for each agency, and MRWPCA has many of the same required responses as the MCWD and MCWRA which puts them in the same status as MCWD and MCWRA, and it is setting a bad precedent.

Agenda Item 5-B (continued):

Director Nishi commented that on page 24, Finding F.8.4., has the words “considerable water expertise”, and he disagrees that the MPWMD has water expertise, with the exception of river restoration and fish habitat. Director Shriner commented that she believes the MPWMD expertise extends beyond the river.

Director Shriner noted that on page 25, Finding F.8.7. reads, “There are many areas of concern in the technical aspects of this large-scale desalination plant.” Director Shriner asked if the areas of concern are non-existent because a design has not been completed yet. Mr. Heitzman answered that there are no areas of concern because the District is using proven technologies and will be selecting a design team that will not use technology that is suspect. Director Shriner asked how the District could reassure the people that have concerns in the technical aspects of this large-scale desalination project.

Director Burns commented that there are many inconsistencies in the report and that the average person who is on the Grand Jury doesn't know the difference between recycled water, water, and river water which is why the lines get blurred a lot. He opined that if anyone has a question about what the findings mean, they should call the Grand Jury up and ask them instead of speculating on what it says and what they think it means. Director Burns said that he believes the Grand Jury tries the best they can to come up with something to put it together, but they blur the lines between who does what and how it is done. Director Burns commented that Mr. Heitzman is suggesting that when the design is put together, there are only a few designs that actually work and you look at the latest proven technology and go on from there.

Director Shriner commented that if the District is going to do something that people are anxious or uncertain about, it is never a good idea to be hostile or condescending. If they have anxiety, it will only exacerbate the situation. Director Shriner suggested using positive comments to reassure the public instead of just saying the District disagrees.

Director Nishi agreed with Director Shriner and said that the District has done that. He added that people take things, twist them around and make negative comments about what the District is doing without looking at the facts. Director Nishi stated that the District needs to put the facts out there the best it can.

Vice President Burns suggested taking out the word “disagrees” so that F8.7. reads, “The Project intends to use proven technologies.” Director Shriner agreed with Vice President Burns and said that the District should also explain that the methods it will use will be the best.

Director Nishi pointed out that page 29 explains how to respond to the Grand Jury Report. Mr. Lowrey confirmed that the General Manager made the required responses per instructions on page 29. Exacerbate

Agenda Item 5-B (continued):

Director Shriner asked Mr. Lowrey if it was acceptable to provide a minority opinion. Mr. Lowrey answered that what is being requested from the Grand Jury is the District's response. The District acts through the District Board which acts as a body and not individuals. Mr. Lowrey opined that the District Board should act with one voice, whatever the voice may be.

President Lee commented that input is best presented to the entire Board so everyone can hear what is being said.

Director Shriner said that she had several recommendations to the responses provided by the General Manager. On page 24, F8.3. and F8.4., the General Manager had responded, "MCWD partially agrees. MPWMD could continue its role and relationship with CalAm. Disagree that while MPWMD brings considerable expertise in some aspects of water, it does not bring extensive expertise in developing, permitting, financing, designing, constructing, operating, maintaining or reporting on water supplies and or delivery systems." Director Shriner said that neither the District, nor anyone else locally, brings expertise. This is an unprecedented project for Monterey County and unless the District wants people to realize that they do have some previous experience when they built a multi-million dollar desal plant expected to produce 300 afy which then became too expensive to continue to operate. Mr. Heitzman said that he had reviewed Director Shriner's comment when she sent it to him and he disagreed with it. He stated that the District has over fifty years of operating a water supply system, reporting on it, designing it, maintaining it, and constructing it. Mr. Heitzman said that he did not understand why Director Shriner didn't think the District had any experience, because that is the District's core duty for the last fifty years. Director Shriner said that she didn't say the District didn't have any experience, she was saying that unless the District wants people to realize that they do have some previous experience with building a multi-million dollar desal plant expected to produce 300 afy that became too expensive to continue to operate. Mr. Heitzman answered that the District did want people to know about the desal plant, and they do know about it. There are many tours the District gives to those interested in the desal plant. It did not become too expensive to operate, the District just didn't need the water. Mr. Heitzman concluded that the desal plant was built as a pilot plant and it has done its job very successfully. He did not understand why Director Shriner was so critical of the District's desal plant. Director Shriner said that if the plant had been operational these last years, environmental tests could have been done to see if there would have been any environmental impacts and would have been a fantastic prototype for the Regional Desal Project. Mr. Heitzman answered that the District did run the plant and did study the environmental impact.

Director Nishi commented that the reason the District went with a 300 acre-foot desal plant was because it was a pilot. The reason the District didn't continue running it was because the District has a more inexpensive water source. Director Nishi said that there was no reason to continue running the plant.

Agenda Item 5-B (continued):

Director Shriner said that the reason to keep running it was to see the environmental impact. Director Nishi commented that the City of Sand City recently built a desalination plant modeled after the District's plant. Sand City can pay for the expensive water and everyone will find out about the environmental impacts. Director Nishi commented that as a Board, they need to make available water that has the best value for the District's ratepayers.

Director Shriner said that another recommendation she had was on page 25, F.8.7. As discussed before, Director Shriner questioned the fact that the District has no concerns over the technical aspects of the Regional Desalination Project. If the District had no concerns and disagreed completely with the finding, Director Shriner would be a minority voice. Director Shriner commented that if there is no concern on the part of the District, then everyone who has requested voting participation on the Advisory Committee should be included without resistance. Director Nishi commented that they are back to the original discussion and the fact that there are inaccuracies in the report. He believes that the Grand Jury knows there are inaccuracies which is why they are asking for responses. Director Nishi stated that the "many areas of concern" are in the eyes of the author of the report. The District intends to use proven technologies, and if they were using an unproven technology, Director Nishi would have a concern as well. But that is not the case.

Continuing on, Director Shriner referred to page 26, R.8.2. where, although she understands the Water Purchase Agreement is binding so that no more Advisors are allowed to vote, she thinks it is unfortunate. Director Shriner disagreed with the concept that the District did not want to allow anyone else to vote or any other organization would want to disallow anyone else to vote.

Recommendation R.8.4. suggests the four agencies continue working to come to some agreement with the MPWMD. Director Shriner believes that the MPWMD refused taking a seat because they couldn't get a vote. She commented that due to the high degree of confidence the District and the Monterey County Board of Supervisors have in the project, they should revisit the offer and include voting for all representatives of the Advisory Committee. Director Shriner asked Legal Counsel if it was correct that to include voting for all representatives would mean redoing the Water Purchase Agreement. Mr. Lowrey stated that if the District wanted to change the voting requirements of the Advisory Committee, it would require an amendment of the Water Purchase Agreement and perhaps the Settlement Agreement that adopted it. It could also require some revisiting of the issue by the Public Utilities Commission. Director Gustafson commented that it would take a million dollars to do. Mr. Heitzman said it would take millions of dollars. Mr. Lowrey opined that it would be a complicated process. Director Shriner suggested including that reasoning in the response so people know why, and not that the District just disagrees because of what happened in the past.

Agenda Item 5-B (continued):

Director Shriner reiterated that it would be good to soften the tone and to educate people rather than being adversaries.

Director Nishi suggested that in addition to the comments made by the General Manager, on F8.5., R8.2., R8.4., and R8.5., the District should put in that the MRWPCA should be deleted. Director Nishi said that the MRWPCA was asked to respond to these four items. For instance, R8.4., is another prime example that the members of the Grand Jury do not understand that the MRWPCA does not have the ability to enforce that everyone continue to work to come to some form of agreement. Director Nishi said that the Grand Jury made some bad assumptions and there is one Director that took that and interpreted it the same way. His concern is that the District has the responsibility to produce a Grand Jury response that is accurate. Director Nishi said if the District doesn't put it in there, it is saying that it is true. Director Shriner asked if the District could ask that the MRWPCA be taken out and put the information Mr. Lowrey gave about the Water Purchase Agreement be put in. Director Nishi disagreed. Regarding R8.4., Director Nishi would like to add language provided by the General Manager or Legal Counsel so it is only MCWD, MCWRA and CalAm.

Director Gustafson made a motion to add the language to R8.4. as requested by Director Nishi to remove the MRWPCA and an explanation in accordance. Vice President Burns asked for clarification of the motion. Director Nishi said that he is requesting to add a sentence at the end of the District's response to say that MCWD, MCWRA and CalAm are the only ones that can make that decision. The motion died for lack of a second.

Director Shriner made a motion to speak to the question about the voting and put more information about how difficult and expensive it would be at this point to change the voting structure. Mr. Heitzman suggested putting in that according to Urban Futures Inc. it would make the project unbondable. Director Shriner suggested the portion that Legal Counsel mentioned about the Water Purchase Agreement and the Settlement Agreement. Mr. Lowrey said that he would want to review the Settlement Agreement to make sure that the language was correct. President Lee asked Director Shriner to clarify her motion. Director Shriner stated that her motion was to include Legal Counsel's explanation in regards to the voting membership of the Advisory Committee and what the Grand Jury was saying about putting the MPWMD back in, and to include language about how expensive it would be now that the Water Purchase Agreement has already occurred. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. Mr. Heitzman said that it would be hard to estimate how expensive it would be. It is clear in the Water Purchase Agreement that it is a done deal. Mr. Heitzman added that it is also clear that you cannot bond the project if anyone sits on the Advisory Committee with a yes or no vote that does not have financial responsibility to the project. Mr. Heitzman said that in the District's experience it could cost millions of dollars and it indicates that the Peninsula's 2016 Cease and Desist Order will not be met.

Agenda Item 5-B (continued):

The motion by Director Shriner failed.

Director Gustafson	-	No	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	No
Director Nishi	-	No			

Director Nishi made a motion to send the response in with the General Manager's recommendation and on R8.4. after the second sentence, adding a sentence that deletes MRWPCA. Director Gustafson seconded the motion. Mr. Heitzman suggested the language say that the MRWCPA is not on the Advisory Committee so they have no role in making that decision. Director Nishi agreed with Mr. Heitzman's suggestion. Director Shriner asked for clarification that a sentence would be added to the end of the response saying that the MRWPCA is not a part of the Advisory Committee. Mr. Heitzman said the sentence would read something similar to what she said. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

6. Budget Workshop:

B. Receive Revised District Draft FY 2011-2012 Budgets, Rates, Fees, and Charges for the Marina and Ord Community Service Areas and Provide Direction Regarding Preparation of the Final Budget Documents:

Ms. Cadiente introduced this item and reviewed the reductions staff made to the budget per the recommendations the Board of Directors made at the March 29, 2011 meeting. One recommendation was to lower the proposed rate increase with budget cuts. Another recommendation was to freeze the Ord Community rates, but it was not fiscally prudent or responsible to do so due to the expenses the Ord Community cost center accrues. Ms. Cadiente noted another recommendation was to not fund the reserves. Staff recommends against eliminating that funding because there are several Capital Projects slated for this year and over the next few years. This will reduce the need to borrow and pay interest costs. Ms. Cadiente went over the cuts that staff made to the budget to help reduce the proposed rate increase to 4.9%.

Director Shriner thanked staff for putting the changes together so quickly. Director Shriner noted that she only has a couple chances during the day to check her email and asked that if emails are sent to her the day of the Board meeting, to please place hard copies of the email on the dais.

Agenda Item 6-B (continued):

Director Shriner has several questions on page 9 of the budget. She wanted to know where the “Reclaimed Water Sales” was moved to. Ms. Cadiente said that they were moved to “Water Sales” and were included in the \$3.7 million figure. Director Shriner noted that the total revenues were \$12.4 million and the total expenses were \$10.1 million. Ms. Cadiente explained that the revenues had to cover other costs as well as expenses. Those costs included bond payments, CalPERS payments, and funding the Capital Replacement Reserves.

Vice President Burns thanked staff for sending him the information on what was included in the water meter charge. He also thanked staff for working hard to lower the proposed rate increase to 4.9%. Vice President Burns commented that recently there have been customers complaining about a \$100 meter charge and asked for clarification on the 1” meter charge. Director Nishi answered that customers with fire protection in their house are required to have two meters, a 1” for the fire protection, and a ¾” meter for the home. The charge for the two meters is approximately \$100. Vice President Burns questioned the RUWAP Laterals in the Capital Improvement Project. Mr. Heitzman said that the laterals are for future recycled water users and they will be funded by the ratepayers when they are put in. Vice President Burns asked what the proposed rate increase would be for the Ord Community. Ms. Cadiente answered that it was also 4.9%.

President Lee questioned how the power costs were reduced for Well 29. Mr. James Derbin, Interim Operations and Maintenance Superintendent, answered that it was in anticipation of new more efficient Well 34 coming online and reducing the pumping requirements on Well 29.

Director Shriner asked if the Board of Directors votes on a lower rate increase, would a press release be sent explaining how a higher rate increase was considered, but the District, out of consideration for the economic climate, worked hard to reduce costs. Mr. Heitzman answered that a press release could be sent as well as noting it in the Prop. 218 notice. He added that it could also be included in the quarterly newsletter.

Director Nishi said that the Board won’t take action at this meeting, but will take action after the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) meeting in June. Mr. Heitzman answered that the Board will take action with FORA for the Ord Community in a joint meeting and will take action for Central Marina in a subsequent meeting. He noted that this was a 2-year Prop. 218 process and the Board will be asked to take action on the second year. Director Nishi said that it is a bit premature to send a press release when it won’t be voted on until June 14th. President Lee answered that it is a good idea but it will be tabled for now.

Director Nishi commented that it was a fantastic job going from 7.8% to 4.9%, but cautioned that just when you think you are there, someone thinks of something else. He noted that the 2.9% reduction is equivalent to approximately \$120,000 and asked if staff could cut another \$200,000.

Agenda Item 6-B (continued):

Director Nishi suggested cutting funds for other open positions as an option. Mr. Heitzman answered that it would be difficult, but perhaps during the Strategic Planning session, the Board could discuss other ideas for cost cutting. Director Nishi thanked staff again and said that the Board appreciates staff's hard work reducing the proposed budget.

Ms. Cadiente reviewed the next steps in the budget schedule.

7. Director's Comments:

Director Nishi commented that the Board is working more as a team. It is a benefit to the ratepayers and he hopes it will continue.

Vice President Burns thanked Ms. Premutati and Ms. Cadiente for the information they sent him. He thanked Ms. Riso for staying late to get this work done.

Director Shriner thanked staff for the quick turnaround as this meeting was scheduled so quickly. She also was grateful for the public discussion on the Grand Jury Report. Director Shriner commented that April 4th was a huge day for unions to hold rallies and to commemorate Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

President Lee asked if Board members could print out emails from the General Manager that may explain a question they asked and disseminate them to the other Board members at a meeting. Mr. Lowrey answered it was allowed and that the documents would become public documents. Mr. Heitzman answered that if he is asked a question via email from a Board member, and the email doesn't contain personal opinions, he will reply and copy all Board members for their information.

8. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 6:29 p.m.

APPROVED:

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-G

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of April 8, 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached draft minutes of April 8, 2011.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District’s expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: _____Yes ___X___No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of April 8, 2011.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the April 8, 2011 special Board meeting.

Action Required: _____Resolution ___X___Motion _____Review

Board Action

_____Resolution No_____ Motion By_____ Seconded By_____

Ayes_____ Abstained_____

Noes_____ Absent_____

Reagendized_____ Date_____ No Action Taken_____

Marina Coast Water District

District Offices
11 Reservation Road
Marina, California

Special Board Meeting
April 8, 2011
1:00 p.m.

Draft Minutes

1. Call to Order:

President Lee called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. on April 8, 2011.

2. Roll Call:

Board Members Present:

Bill Lee – President
Howard Gustafson
Kenneth K. Nishi

Board Members Absent:

Dan Burns – Vice President
Jan Shriner

Staff Members Present:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager
Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel
Mark Fogelman, Legal Counsel - via telephone
Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
Paula Riso, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk

Audience Members:

None.

The Board entered into closed session at 1:00 p.m.

3. Closed Session:

- A. Pursuant to Government Code 54956.9
Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation
(Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9)
Ag Land Trust v. Marina Coast Water District and Does 1-100, Monterey County
Superior Court Case No. M105019 (First Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate and
Complaint for Declaratory Relief)

President Lee reconvened the meeting to open session at 2:01 p.m.

4. Possible Action on Closed Session Item:

Mr. Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel, reported that there was a conference with legal counsel concerning the matter with Ag Land Trust v. Marina Coast Water District. There have been no decisions made in closed session and the Board has come into open session to discuss the possibility of taking action with respect to that lawsuit.

Director Gustafson made a motion to follow the advice of legal counsel. Director Nishi seconded the motion. Mr. Lowrey asked for clarification on the motion. Director Nishi asked Mr. Mark Fogelman, Legal Counsel, to provide the recommended verbiage. Mr. Fogelman provided the following verbiage for the motion:

Mr. Fogelman is requesting authorization to proceed to file a petition for review in the California Supreme Court with respect to the Marina Coast Water District v. Superior Court Writ Matter that was just decided in the 6th Appellate District, and to ask the court to grant review and to transfer the matter back to the Court of Appeal for the issuance of an alternative writ and a decision on the merits.

Mr. Lowrey asked if the verbiage provided by Mr. Fogelman was the motion made by Director Gustafson and seconded by Director Nishi. Directors Gustafson and Nishi answered affirmatively.

The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Absent
Director Shriner	-	Absent	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

5. Director's Comments:

President Lee commented that things have been happening and are continuing to happen. For instance, when the meetings are televised, it looks as though the recorded meetings are being edited. Director Nishi interrupted with a point of order and suggested that President Lee make the comments when all the Board members are present. President Lee concurred.

Special Board Meeting
April 8, 2011
Page 3 of 3

6. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 2:06 p.m.

APPROVED:

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 9-H

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Paula Riso

Agenda Title: Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of April 12, 2011

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve the attached draft minutes of April 12, 2011.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: None.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: ___ Yes ___ X No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Draft minutes of April 12, 2011.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors approve the draft minutes of the April 12, 2011 regular Board meeting.

Action Required: ___ Resolution ___ X Motion ___ Review

Board Action

___ Resolution No ___ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

Marina Coast Water District

District Offices
11 Reservation Road
Marina, California

Regular Board Meeting
April 12, 2011
6:45 p.m.

Draft Minutes

1. Call to Order:

President Lee called the meeting to order at 6:45 p.m. on April 12, 2011.

2. Roll Call:

Board Members Present:

Bill Lee – President
Dan Burns – Vice President
Howard Gustafson
Jan Shriner
Kenneth K. Nishi

Board Members Absent:

None.

Staff Members Present:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager
Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel
Carl Niizawa, Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator
Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services
Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist
Rich Youngblood, Conservation Coordinator
Brian True, Capital Projects Manager
James Derbin, Interim Operations and Maintenance Superintendent
Gary Rogers, Assistant Engineer
Paula Riso, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk

Audience Members:

Richard Newhouse, Marina Resident
Andy Sterbenz, Schaaf & Wheeler
Paula Pelot, Marina Resident
William Shelby, TORO Association
Joe Elliott, Elliott Management
Don Bolles

Harold Krotzer, Marina Resident
Will Franks, Marina Resident
Don Evans, Evans Group
Dave Swanson, TORO Association
Walt Conley
Carrie Williams, CSUMB Student

The Board entered into closed session at 6:45 p.m.

3. Closed Session:

A. Pursuant to Government Code 54956.9

Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation
(Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9)

Ag Land Trust v. Marina Coast Water District and Does 1-100, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. M105019 (First Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory Relief)

B. Pursuant to Government Code 54957.6

Conference with Labor Negotiators
Agency designated representatives: William Lee and Dan Burns
Unrepresented Employee: General Manager

The Board ended closed session at 7:03 p.m.

President Lee reconvened the meeting to open session at 7:06 p.m.

4. Possible Action on Closed Session Items:

Mr. Lloyd Lowrey, Legal Counsel, reported the following:

3-A – there was no conference, no discussion, and no action was taken.

3-B – direction was given, no action was taken.

Director Shriner inquired on item 3-A asking if action was taken at the special Board meeting on April 8th regarding this matter. Mr. Lowrey answered that no action was taken in closed session but there was action taken in open session on April 8, 2011. Director Shriner stated that she had received some draft minutes of the April 8th meeting, although in a different format than usual, and asked if there was a reason behind it. Mr. Lowrey stated that should be discussed either under the minutes or Director's Comments.

5. Pledge of Allegiance:

President Lee led everyone present in the pledge of allegiance.

6. Oral Communications:

No comments.

7. Consent Calendar:

Director Shriner asked to pull items D and F from the Consent Calendar. Vice President Burns asked to pull item B from the Consent Calendar.

Director Gustafson made a motion to approve Consent Calendar consisting of items:

- A. Adopt Resolution No. 2011-25 to Amend the Marina Coast Water District Conflict of Interest Code
- C. Adopt Resolution No. 2011-26 to Approve a Professional Services Agreement with TJC & Associates Inc. for \$26,000 for Consulting Services Related to the District's Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System
- E. Approve the Expenditures for the Month of March 2011
- G. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting of March 8, 2011

Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

- B. Approve the 2010 Consumer Confidence Report for the Marina Coast Water District Water System:

Vice President Burns inquired what corrective action was taken when coliform was detected. Mr. Thomas Barkhurst, Water Quality Chemist, answered that repeat samples were taken both upstream and downstream from the detection site and all six District Wells were sampled. Those samples were all negative. Vice President Burns asked what the arsenic level for reporting was. Mr. Barkhurst answered that the Maximum Contaminant Level was 10 and the highest detected amount was 6.4. Vice President Burns commented that there is a website listed for people to find out more information on Radon in the air, but no website was listed for Radon in the water. He suggested adding a water website for people to get more information. Mr. Barkhurst stated that the language in the report was required, but something could be added.

Director Gustafson made a motion to approve the 2010 Consumer Confidence Report for the Marina Coast Water District Water System. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

D. Adopt Resolution No. 2011-27 to Approve the Issuance of a Request for Proposals to Provide Legal Assistance on Personnel and Employment Matters to the Marina Coast Water District:

Director Shriner questioned why the District was sending out a Request for Proposals for legal assistance when Noland, Hammerly, Ettienne & Hoss have been providing assistance to the District for years. Ms. Jean Premutati, Management Services Administrator, answered that the Request for Proposals was to hire a law firm with more focus on employment law and personnel matters. Mr. Lowrey commented that this was beyond the scope of services that Noland, Hammerly, Ettienne & Hoss normally performs.

Director Gustafson made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-27 to approve the issuance of a Request for Proposals to provide legal assistance on personnel and employment matters to the Marina Coast Water District. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

F. Approve the Draft Minutes of the Special Board Meeting of February 22, 2011:

Director Shriner commented that she had a change on page 52 of the packet, page 12 of the minutes. She suggested the second paragraph, first sentence read, "Director Shriner suggested replacing the phrase "MCWD shall approve all contract change orders..." with "All changes will be subject to approval by MCWD."

Director Gustafson made a motion to approve the draft minutes of the special Board meeting of February 22, 2011 with Director Shriner's changes.

Director Nishi commented that he had a change on page 47 of the packet, page 7 of the minutes. He asked the fourth sentence of the last paragraph to read, "Director Shriner said she passed Director Nishi copies of the documents she referred to." Director Nishi explained he did not receive the documents that that she referred to. Director Nishi asked Director Gustafson to amend his motion to have staff review the section of the tape Director Shriner was talking about, and make the correction to page 7 of the minutes adding the words "said she".

Director Gustafson amended his motion to have staff review the tapes, add the words "said she" and bring the corrected minutes back to the next meeting. Director Nishi seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

Mr. Lowrey commented that Director Shriner wanted to know about the April 8th minutes. Director Shriner asked if they would be on the next agenda. Mr. Jim Heitzman, General Manager, answered they would.

8. Action Items:

A. Consider Appointment to the Water Conservation Commission:

Mr. Richard Youngblood, Conservation Coordinator, introduced this item explaining that staff had advertised the vacancy and had received one application. He added that Commissioner Newhouse tendered his resignation at the last meeting, so once the current vacancy is filled, there would be another to fill.

Director Shriner commented that in December 2009, after only one application was received, the Board asked staff to re-advertise the vacancy. Mr. Youngblood stated that in 2009, the Board asked staff to advertise the vacancy because it was never advertised to begin with and it was a requirement of the Commission.

Director Nishi commented that he felt this discussion was straying from the agenda item. Mr. Lowrey answered that the Board can discuss what action they want to take.

Vice President Burns asked how much the District spent on advertising the vacancy. Mr. Youngblood answered that the District spent \$350 on advertising the vacancy.

Director Burns made a motion to table this item and re-evaluate the Water Conservation Commission as to how many people should be on it and what their direction should be. Director Shriner seconded the motion. President Lee commented that they weren't ready for a motion yet as public comment had not been received.

Ms. Paula Pelot, Marina Resident, questioned what qualifications are required for this position and also asked if related people can serve on the same committee.

Mr. Harold Krotzer, Marina Resident, commented that his was the application for the position and if the Board was unsure of the appointment, they could table this item and move on. He added that he had been attending the last several meetings of the Water Conservation Commission and really enjoyed them. Mr. Krotzer commented that his qualifications are that he has lived in Marina since 1984, he has a concern for water, and a concern as a Marina resident.

President Lee asked if there were any legal issues or anything to prevent family members from serving on the same Commission. Mr. Lowrey answered that there were no legal issues and nothing to stop anyone from serving on the same Commission.

Agenda Item 8-A (continued):

Director Burns re-stated his motion to table this item and re-evaluate the Water Conservation Commission. Director Shriner seconded the motion. Director Nishi commented that he had concerns on this motion. He was concerned that the District already spent \$350 on advertising and shouldn't throw it away. Director Nishi suggested appointing Mr. Krotzer and putting an item on the agenda next month to discuss the Water Conservation Commission.

President Lee made a substitute motion to appoint Mr. Krotzer to the Water Conservation Commission. Director Gustafson seconded the motion. The motion to make a substitute motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	No
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

The Board voted on President Lee's substitute motion to appoint Mr. Krotzer to the Water Conservation Commission. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	No
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

B. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-28 to Select a Consultant to Facilitate a Board Workshop and Develop a Five-Year Strategic Plan for the Marina Coast Water District:

Ms. Premutati introduced this item.

Director Shriner asked if the Board had any experience with any of these firms. Ms. Premutati answered that the Board has worked with The Ingram Group and Applied Development Economics. Director Shriner thanked Ms. Premutati for putting together a spreadsheet showing the different bids and asked if any of the consultants were local. Ms. Premutati answered that one was local and the other three were out of town.

Vice President Burns commented that BHI Management Consulting was a more hands on consultant and didn't rely on phone or online contact with Board members. Ms. Premutati added that Mr. Brent Ives, BHI Management Consulting, was President of California Special Districts Association and has taught many training classes throughout the state. Ms. Premutati commented that the scope-of-work can be revised depending on the needs of the Board.

Vice President Burns clarified that staff was looking for the Board to select a facilitator from the group at this meeting. Ms. Premutati answered affirmatively.

Agenda Item 8-B (continued):

Director Nishi made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-28 selecting BHI Management Consulting to facilitate a Board workshop and develop a five-year Strategic Plan for the Marina Coast Water District. Director Gustafson seconded the motion.

Director Shriner made a substitute motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-28 selecting The Ingram Group to facilitate a Board workshop and develop a five-year Strategic Plan for the Marina Coast Water District. She commented that she selected The Ingram Group because they were local and a lower cost than BHI Management Consulting. The motion died for lack of a second.

The original motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-28 selecting BHI Management Consulting to facilitate a Board workshop and develop a five-year Strategic Plan for the Marina Coast Water District was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

- C. Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-29 to Approve a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$54,680:

Mr. Gary Rogers, Assistant Engineer, introduced this item.

Director Nishi asked what work Schaaf & Wheeler would be doing for the \$54,680. Mr. Rogers answered that they would be doing preliminary services; surveying and base mapping of the area; soil investigation and geotechnical engineering; preliminary design & report; and, meetings and coordination.

Director Nishi stated that he had concerns that if the District is going to drill into the deep aquifer, it is too close to Well No. 34 which is also in the deep aquifer, citing information in the draft Urban Water Management Plan. He indicated concerns regarding Well 34 depth and perforations, and that such should be a Board decision. Mr. Lowrey commented that Schaaf & Wheeler is doing the preliminary work along with the Hydrogeologist and the final decision will be made by the Board.

Agenda Item 8-C (continued):

Director Gustafson made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-29 to approve a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering services related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a not-to-exceed Amount of \$54,680 and that following the CEQA work, the Board will make the final decision on the well. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	No			

D. Reconsider the Appointment of the Liaisons to the Regional Desalination Project:

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item.

Ms. Pelot asked what the reasoning was behind this item. Vice President Burns explained that Director Nishi has more experience in the financial issues than he does, and he has more experience than Director Nishi in the design and operation phase of the process. This will make it more beneficial to the District if they both have equal partnership in this process.

Director Shriner commented that she had suggested the primary and alternate, was because it was difficult to have one Director quit without reason in January, and reapply in February without reason. She said she was concerned about reliability.

Director Nishi commented that Director Shriner chastised the Board on January 31st for lacking to select a member for the Water Conservation Commission who had more experience than the others. In March, when both Director Nishi and Vice President Burns volunteered to serve as liaisons to the Regional Desalination Project, Director Shriner selected Vice President Burns when they were both willing to work as equals. Director Nishi asked that they be allowed to give it a chance to work.

Mr. William Franks, Marina resident, commented that having both serve is a good idea and agreed with Vice President Burns that they both bring experience.

Director Gustafson made a motion to appoint Vice President Burns and Director Nishi as liaisons to the Regional Desalination Project. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

E. Consider Providing Direction to the Board President on the District Vote for the LAFCO Independent Special District Alternative Seat:

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item.

Vice President Burns asked if staff had any preference to any of the candidates. Mr. Heitzman commented that he has heard good things about Mr. Stephen Snodgrass, although he had not heard anything bad about anyone else.

Director Nishi commented that Mr. Snodgrass would be a benefit to LAFCO because he is from a water district and it would be a benefit if there was someone from a water use agency on LAFCO.

Director Shriner commented that she has had experience with Ms. Mary Ann Leffel and has been impressed with her communication skills and collaborative efforts.

Director Gustafson made a motion to recommend the Board President vote for Mr. Stephen Snodgrass for the LAFCO Independent Special District Alternative Seat. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	No	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

F. Consider Providing Direction to Staff on the Coalition of Toro Area Homeowners' Associations Request to Provide Sanitary Sewer Service:

Mr. Lowrey recused himself from this matter to avoid any possible implication or appearance of conflict of interest, as he lives within the area to be discussed. He left the room at 7:57 p.m.

Mr. Heitzman introduced this item and noted that the financial impact on page 170 should be "yes" as the District would have to spend some resources to get a report on the preliminary cost figures as requested.

Mr. William Shelby, Toro Park Homeowners Coalition, commented that they were seeking to change their service from a private to public agency. If they succeed in acquiring the system, they could either operate the facility as it is, or, connect to a service provider such as the Marina Coast Water District and allow them to maintain and operate it.

Agenda Item 8-F (continued):

Vice President Burns asked if they had asked the Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency (MRWPCA) to be annexed into their territory. Mr. Shelby didn't think so. Vice President Burns asked if this would eliminate the small treatment plant. Mr. Shelby said it did.

Vice President Burns asked if the District would get the recycled water from the sewer flows. Mr. Heitzman answered the District would if it owned the system. He added that there are many options available to the Toro Park Homeowners, from purchasing and operating it themselves, or running a pipe to the Salinas treatment plant. Mr. Heitzman stated that the Toro Park Homeowners Coalition wanted the District to put together some numbers so that they can decide for themselves what would be the best for them.

Director Nishi asked what the answer from the MRWPCA was, when they asked for annexation.

Mr. Don Bolles, Toro Park Homeowners Coalition Secretary, stated that he made the original request to the MRWPCA to be included in their system. The MRWPCA spent about \$30,000 on a study that it said it was feasible to be included into the system by connecting at Hitchcock Road in Salinas. Mr. Bolles said that they were told that the MRWPCA only does treatment and not collection, so the Toro Park Homeowners would have to find some other agency to handle the collection and how to acquire the assets from the current owner.

Director Nishi commented that as a Director for the Marina Coast Water District ratepayers, he cannot support spending the Marina ratepayer's funds to do the research. He stated that when the District was asked to do something similar to this before, the people wanting the research, paid the funds themselves. Director Nishi suggested letting the General Manager figure things out.

Mr. Heitzman said the Board could ask staff to give Toro Park Homeowners Coalition a ballpark figure on the costs for the District to do the work that they requested. They can then take that figure back to their next meeting and decide if they want to pay the District to move forward.

Director Nishi said that the sewer system is privately owned and the owner has stated publicly that they are not interested in selling it. There is no way of guessing what the cost would be.

Mr. Heitzman stated that the District staff could let the Toro Park Homeowners know approximately what the cost for maintaining the infrastructure would be. Vice President Burns asked if the costs would be borne on the Toro Park Homeowners and how the costs would be paid. Mr. Heitzman answered that if it went to the point where the District spent money on the infrastructure and connecting it to the system, a separate cost center would be created for the Toro Park Homeowners so they don't have to pay for anything but their system.

Agenda Item 8-F (continued):

Director Gustafson made a motion for staff to work with the Toro Park Homeowners Coalition and provide them with an estimate of the cost to do research into their request. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

Mr. Lowrey returned to his seat in the Board room at 8:16 p.m.

G. Consider First Reading of Ordinance No. 54 Approving New District Rates, Fees & Charges for Marina Water and Wastewater and Approve Prop. 218 Public Notice and Set Date, Time and Location for Public Hearings for Proposed Changes in Rates, Fees, and Charges for Marina and Ord Community Service Areas:

Ms. Kelly Cadiente, Director of Administrative Services, introduced this item.

Ms. Pelot commented that she understands that even if a Prop. 218 notice is done for two years, if you change the rates the second year you have to do another Prop. 218 notice. Mr. Lowrey stated that the Government Code provides for you to do up to a five-year Prop. 218 notice and set an upper limit so that as long as you adopt rates within that limit, you don't have to send out another Prop. 218 notice. Ms. Pelot asked for the Code reference. Mr. Lowrey said he didn't know it off the top of his head but would look it up and let her know what it was.

Director Shriner reminded everyone that a 7.8% increase was originally proposed, but was reduced to 4.9%.

Director Gustafson made a motion to consider the First Reading of Ordinance No. 54 approving new District rates, fees & charges for Marina Water and Wastewater and approve Prop. 218 Public Notice and set date, time and location for Public Hearings for proposed changes in rates, fees, and charges for Marina and Ord Community service areas. Director Shriner seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

9. Staff Report:

A. Receive an Update on the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan:

Mr. Rogers introduced this item.

Director Shriner noted that there were phrases in the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) such as overdraft, shortfall, and net imbalance. She wanted to know why, if there is a net imbalance for 2030, would anyone want to build houses that there is no water for and why would the District even approve those plans? Mr. Heitzman answered that the land use jurisdictions make those decisions. Director Shriner said the District gives the jurisdictions Water Assessments. Mr. Heitzman answered that the District gives Water Supply Assessments based on the Monterey County Water Resources Agency's water allocation for each jurisdiction on the current available supply. Director Shriner asked clarification questions on recycled water availability. Discussion followed regarding recycled water, storage and availability.

Director Shriner commented that on page 22, the table shows a shortfall of water by 2015 and it grows by 2020. Mr. Andrew Sterbenz, Schaaf & Wheeler, commented that this table was for the State of California's Water Conservation Plan, the 20x2020 Plan. The table shows the difference between the conservation target for the year 2020 and what the projected per person water demand is going to be in the year 2020. Mr. Sterbenz stated that the Marina Coast Water District is pulling down the state average for per person water demand due to the fact it is mostly residential and very little commercial use. Over the next ten years, the local jurisdictions are predicting more commercial development which raises the per person water demand, and in the next decade, they are predicting more residential development which brings the average back down.

There were clarifying questions on current water supply and future water supply.

Director Nishi commented that the map on page 7 showing the service area was incorrect. On page 29, the last paragraph needs to be clarified. Director Nishi stated that he had other comments and that he would meet with Mr. Sterbenz to go over his concerns at another time.

Ms. Pelot noted on page 46 that the UWMP mentions the District's desalination plant is idle. She asked that it be clarified if it was idle or broken. Mr. Rogers answered that staff would take the comment into consideration.

Mr. Lowrey asked the Board to return to item 8-G to set the date for the hearing and designate an official, the General Manager or designee, to prepare the summary of Ordinance No. 54 for publication.

Agenda Item 8-G (continued):

Director Gustafson made a motion to set the date for the public hearing to June 10, 2011 at a joint meeting with the Fort Ord Reuse Authority, and June 14, 2011 for the Central Marina Ordinance No. 54 public hearing. Vice President Burns seconded the motion. The motion was passed.

Director Gustafson	-	Yes	Vice President Burns	-	Yes
Director Shriner	-	Yes	President Lee	-	Yes
Director Nishi	-	Yes			

10. Informational Items:

A. General Manager's Report:

No report.

B. District Engineer's Report:

No report.

C. Counsel's Report:

No report.

D. Committee and Board Liaison Reports:

1. Water Conservation Commission:

President Lee commented that the meeting was boiler-plate.

2. Joint City-District Committee:

Mr. Heitzman commented that it was a productive meeting.

3. Budget and Personnel Committee:

No meeting was held.

4. MRWPCA Board Member:

Vice President Burns stated there was nothing to report.

5. Special Districts Association Liaison:

Director Gustafson commented that meeting is next week.

6. LAFCO Liaison:

Nothing to report.

7. JPIA Liaison:

Director Shriner commented that the Spring Conference Program is May 10-13 in Sacramento.

8. FORA:

President Lee commented that the meeting was last Friday and was boiler-plate.

9. CalDesal:

Vice President Burns stated that was a meeting in Redondo Beach on April 7 and 8. The State Water Resources Control Board moved up their priorities for intake and brine waste discharge and was going to start looking at the regulations on these processes. Vice President Burns stated that CalDesal will make a presentation on May 18 to the State Water Resources Control Board. He said that there were presentations on new technology and information.

10. Executive Committee:

No meeting was held.

11. Community Outreach:

President Lee commented that information was going through the Water Conservation Commission.

12. Regional Desalination Reports:

The matrix is included in the packet.

C. Director's Comments:

Director Shriner thanked the CSUMB students for attending the Board meeting.

Director Gustafson said it was a great meeting.

Agenda Item 10-C (continued):

Director Nishi commented that the Board decided that they wanted to discuss portions of the Procedures Manual in each agenda and asked that staff follow through with it.

President Lee asked Ms. Paula Riso, Board Clerk, to help him make sure that the Procedures Manual was on each agenda. He also thanked the students for attending the meeting.

11. Correspondence:

Provided in the packet.

12. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:44 p.m.

APPROVED:

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, General Manager

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Kelly Cadiente
Prepared By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Kelly Cadiente

Agenda Title: Consider Second Reading of Ordinance No. 54 Approving New District Rates, Fees & Charges for Marina Water and Wastewater

Detailed Description: The Board is requested to conduct the second reading of Ordinance No. 54 which is the next step to approving new water and wastewater rates, fees and charges for the Marina service area. The new rates and fees would be effective July 1, 2011. Proposition 218 notices of public hearing were mailed to all customers on April 25, 2011. The public hearing for the Marina service area is scheduled for 7:00 PM, Tuesday, June 14, 2011 at 11 Reservation Road, Marina, CA.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: On April 12, 2011, the Board conducted the first reading of Ordinance No. 54 approving new District rates, fees, and charges for the Marina water and wastewater service area. The Board also approved the Proposition 218 notice of public hearing and set dates, times, and locations for the public hearings in accordance with Proposition 218 rate increase process for both the Marina and Ord Community service areas.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan Goal No. 4 – To manage the District's finances in the most effective and fiscally responsible manner.*

Financial Impact: Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: All water and sewer revenue accounts for the District.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Process for Adopting Proposition 218 Rate Increase (Chart); and, Ordinance No. 54.

Staff Recommendation: Conduct Second Reading of Ordinance No. 54 Approving New District Rates, Fees & Charges for Marina Water and Wastewater.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

____ Resolution No ____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

PROCESS FOR ADOPTING PROP. 218 RATE INCREASE

STEP	ACTION	WHEN
1.	First reading of the proposed rate increase ordinance by the MCWD Board of Directors. Board sets the second reading date and public hearing date for May 10, 2011.	April 12, 2011
2.	For Prop. 218 compliance, mail notice to the record owner (may include tenants if they pay directly to MCWD) of each parcel upon which the fee or charge is proposed to be imposed. The notice must include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the amount of the fee on that parcel b. the basis for calculating the fee or charge c. the reason for the fee or charge d. the time, date and location of the protest hearing 	At least 45 days before public protest hearing (By April 25, 2011)
3.	Second reading of the proposed rate increase ordinance by the MCWD Board of Directors.	May 10, 2011
4.	District mails notice of public hearing to interested parties who have filed written request within prior year [At least 14 days before meeting.]	14 days before meeting (By May 31, 2011)
5.	District makes available to the public data indicating the amount of cost, or estimated cost, required to provide the service for which charges are levied and the revenue sources anticipated to provide the service, including General Fund revenues. [At least 10 days before meeting.]	10 days before meeting (By June 3, 2011)
6.	Hold Prop. 218 public hearing on protests. If a majority of owners or tenants of identified parcels present written protests, the district may not impose the increased charges.	Not less than 45 days after notice is mailed (June 10, 2011-Ord; June 14, 2011 Marina)
7.	Propose to MCWD and FORA Board for adoption of 2011/2012 operating and capital budgets, and compensation plan for Ord Community services. Adopt ordinance for Central Marina, resolutions for Ord Community.	June 10, 2011-FORA & June 14, 2011-MCWD
8.	Water Consumption, Sewer Collection and Monthly Charges effective after both Board (MCWD and FORA) take action on adopting the budgets.	July 1, 2011

MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
ORDINANCE NO. 54

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 6.08.030, 6.08.060, 6.08.100, AND 6.12.050
OF THE DISTRICT CODE
CHANGING RATES, FEES AND CHARGES FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICES

Be it ordained by the Board of Directors of
Marina Coast Water District
as follows:

Section 1. Authority. This Ordinance is enacted pursuant to Sections 30000 and following of the California Water Code, and Sections 66013 and 66016 of the California Government Code, and Section 6 of Article XIII D of the California Constitution.

Section 2. Findings.

- A. This ordinance is considered for action by the Board of Directors at a regularly scheduled and noticed meeting. The agenda was posted in accordance with County Water District law with opportunity for public review in advance of the meeting and public comment during consideration of the ordinance by the Board. The District has complied with publication, notice and hearing requirements of Section 6 of Article XIID of the California Constitution and Section 66016 of the California Government Code and Section 31027 of the California Water Code.
- B. The District Code establishes, among other things, water consumption charges, minimum monthly charges, monthly sewer charges, various service charges, and water and sewer capacity charges for the District's customers. Based on the recommendations of the District's General Manager and engineering and financial advisors, and the 2008 5-Year Water and Wastewater Financial Plan and Rate Study adopted by the Board of Directors on May 14, 2008, revised charges are necessary to meet operating and capital expenses for sound operation of the District and to enable the District to provide continued water and sewer service within existing service areas.
- C. The District's legal counsel advises, and the Board finds, that adoption of this ordinance is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and Section 15273 of the State CEQA Guidelines codified at 14 CCR §15273.
- D. The rates, fees and charges adopted by this ordinance will not exceed the estimated reasonable costs of providing the services for which the rates, fees or charges are imposed and will not exceed the proportional cost of the service attributable to the customers on whom the charges are imposed.
- E. No written requests are on file with the District for mailed notice of meetings on new or increased fees or service charges pursuant to Government Code Section 66016. At least 10 days prior to the meeting, the District made available to the

public data indicating the amount of cost, or estimated cost, required to provide the service for which the fee or service charge is levied and the revenue sources anticipated to provide the service.

F. The amount of the increase in capacity charges exceeds the percentage increase in the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local Government Purchases, as determined by the Department of Finance. As a result, the District cannot charge the increased capacity fee to any school district, county office of education, community college district, state agency, or the University of California before first negotiating the increases with those entities in accordance with District Code section 6.16.020 and Government Code section 54999.3. Although these sections also apply to California State University at Monterey Bay, the District has complied with its obligation to negotiate with it and can charge the increased amounts to CSUMB as a result of and as limited by a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release dated June 1, 2006, by which the District and California State University made an agreement regarding the amount of all future capacity charges. Accordingly, the District can charge the increased capacity charges as limited by the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release immediately to CSUMB. The increased capacity charges to any other school district, state agency, county office of education, community college district or the University of California will be effective only when negotiations are concluded with those entities.

Section 3. Purpose of Ordinance. The purpose of this Ordinance is to revise charges for water and wastewater services. This Ordinance amends Sections 6.08.030, 6.08.060, 6.08.100, and 6.12.050 of the District Code.

Section 4. Temporary Water Service. Section 6.08.030 (F) of the District Code is amended to read in full as follows:

“6.08.030 Temporary water service.

F. The applicant shall be responsible for district equipment utilized for this purpose and the necessary repair or replacement costs shall be deducted from the applicant's deposit. The applicant is responsible for returning the district equipment and closing the account promptly after the job is complete. If a meter is not returned promptly, the district shall deduct the cost of replacing the meter from the applicant’s deposit.

Gate Valve/Meter deposit	\$ 650.00
Water consumption deposit	\$1,100.00 minimum
Set or remove hydrant meter	\$ 140.00
Relocate meter per occurrence	\$ 140.00
Meter set, other than on fire hydrant	Actual Cost
Minimum monthly service charge (Effective July 1, 2011)	\$ 82.24
Minimum monthly service charge (Effective July 1, 2012)	\$ 86.35
<u>Monthly quantity rate for each HCF (see Section 6.08.100 Water Consumption Rates”</u>	

Section 5. Monthly Minimum Water Charges. Section 6.08.060 of the District Code is hereby amended to read in full as follows:

“6.08.060 Monthly minimum water charges.

The monthly minimum charges for water service shall be:

Meter Size	Effective July 1, 2011 Charges	Effective July 1, 2012 Charges
5/8” or 3/4”	\$17.95	\$18.85
1”	\$44.85	\$47.09
1-1/2”	\$89.70	\$94.19
2”	\$143.50	\$150.68
3”	\$269.07	\$282.52
4”	\$448.45	\$470.87
6”	\$896.90	\$941.75
8”	\$1,793.80	\$1,883.49

Section 6. Water Consumption Rates. Section 6.08.100 of the District Code is hereby amended to read in full as follows:

“6.08.100 Water consumption rates.

A. Water consumption by District Customers shall be measured in units of one hundred cubic feet (seven hundred forty-eight gallons). The quantity charge for water consumption per one hundred cubic feet (HCF) shall be as follows:

	Effective July 1, 2011	Effective July 1, 2012
0-8 hcf	\$2.18 per hcf	\$2.29 per hcf
9-16 hcf	\$2.66 per hcf	\$2.79 per hcf
16 + hcf	\$4.85 per hcf	\$5.09 per hcf

Construction Water Depots:

Minimum Monthly Charge (Effective July 1, 2011) \$ 82.24
 Minimum Monthly Charge (Effective July 1, 2012) \$ 86.35
 Monthly Quantity rate for each HCF
 (see above table for Consumption Rates)”

Section 7. Wastewater Collection Rates. Section 6.12.050 of the District Code is amended to read in full as follows:

“6.12.050 Wastewater collection rates.

Wastewater collection rates for all classes of customers within the District shall be as follows per month per equivalent dwelling unit (EDU), calculated using the table of user classifications and wastewater demand factors set forth in Appendix D of this code.

\$8.71 per equivalent dwelling unit (Effective July 1, 2011)

\$9.15 per equivalent dwelling unit (Effective July 1, 2012)

Section 8. Requirements for Rates, Fees and Charges. The rates, fees and charges adopted by this ordinance shall not exceed the estimated reasonable costs of providing the services for which the rates, fees or charges are imposed. Revenues derived, respectively, from water and sewer capacity charges shall not be used for any purpose other than for capital facilities to provide, respectively, water and sewer service. If the capacity charges adopted by this ordinance create revenues in excess of actual cost, those revenues shall be used to reduce the capacity charges creating the excess.

Section 9. Effective Date. All sections of this Ordinance shall take effect upon adoption. The District conducted a public hearing not less than 45 days after the District mailed notice of the proposed increase in rates, fees and charges to the customers directly liable to pay the rates, fees and charges in question (excluding capacity charges). At the public hearing held regarding the rate increases, the District Board considered all protests against the proposed increase in rates, fees and charges (excluding capacity charges). A majority of customers that directly pay bills of the identified service address did not file written protests.

Section 10. Publication and Posting. Within 15 days after adoption, the district shall publish, in a newspaper published in Monterey County and circulated within the district, a summary of this ordinance with the names of those directors voting for and against adoption, and shall post in the district office a certified copy of the full text of this ordinance as adopted along with the names of those directors voting for and against adoption.

Section 11. Notice of Exemption Notice of Determination. The Secretary is authorized and directed to give due notice of exemption of this ordinance from the provisions of CEQA, pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations section 15062.

Section 12. Existing Charges. Existing rates, fees and charges in effect when this ordinance is adopted shall remain in effect unless specifically changed by this ordinance.

Section 13. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, or superseded by some other provision of law, such provisions shall be severed from and shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this ordinance. The Board hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any other part thereof be unconstitutional or invalid, or superseded by some other provision of law. The parts of this ordinance which are not unconstitutional, invalid, or superseded shall remain in full force and effect and shall be enforced according to their terms.

Section 14. Interpretation. Words and phrases used in this ordinance shall be read conjunctively with and shall have the same meaning as in prior district ordinances and the district Code, unless specifically changed by this ordinance or unless the context requires some other construction. If there is any inconsistency between this ordinance and prior provisions, this ordinance shall control.

On motion of Director _____, seconded by Director _____, the foregoing Ordinance is enacted and shall take effect on _____ by the following roll call of the Board:

Ayes: _____

Nays: _____

Absent: _____

Abstained: _____

By _____
William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing Ordinance was adopted and approved by the Board of Directors at their regular meeting on June 14, 2011.

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-B

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Gary Rogers

Presented By: Gary Rogers

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-31 to Adopt the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to consider adoption of the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project (the Project). This proposed municipal well is expected to be located at the intersection of Watkins Gate Road and Reservation Road and within the property owned by the developer Union Community Partners-East Garrison, LLC.

Based on the evaluation conducted by Denise Duffy & Associates, including, but not limited to, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards and traffic circulation, the IS/MND concludes that with applied mitigation measures no significant impacts would result from the proposed action. Therefore, a proposed MND determination was prepared.

In March 2011, District staff released the Draft IS/MND for a public review period. The end of the public review period was April 22, 2011. The entities that provided public comment were the following:

- Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, who stated that the proposed Watkins Gate Well was exempt from the need to obtain a permit from the MPWMD and therefore they had no comment;
- Union Community Partners, who had comments that were not CEQA related issues;
- State of California Office of Planning and Research, who acknowledged the District's compliance with the State Clearing House review requirements and that no state agencies submitted comments within the public review period.

The complete Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) is comprised of the separately-bound Draft IS/MND and the Final IS/MND documents dated March 2011, and May 2, 2011, respectively. The comment letters and responses to pertinent comments are included in the Final IS/MND dated May 2, 2011. The comments received on the Draft IS/MND did not result in a "substantial revision" of the negative declaration, as defined by CEQA Guidelines Section 15073.5, and the new information added to the negative declaration merely clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modifications to the IS/MND. No new, avoidable significant effects were identified since the commencement of the public review period that would require

mitigation measures or project revisions to be added in order to reduce the effects to insignificant.

After adoption of the IS/MND, the Board is being asked to authorize the General Manager or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to execute payment of all fees to undertake all administrative actions necessary to carry out the Consultant Services for the Project.

Prior Committee or Board Action: Resolution No. 2009-29, the Board Approved a Professional Services Agreement with Denise Duffy & Associates for General Environmental Services.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 2 – To meet 100% of current and future customers’ needs and make timely improvements and increase infrastructure and level of services and human resources to meet needs of expanding service areas in an environmentally sensitive way.*

Financial Impact: _____Yes X No

Funding Source/Recap: Funding for this work is from the Ord Community Water cost center. Additionally, the District will request reimbursement from the State of California State Water Resources Control Board Proposition 50 funds.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2011-31; and, Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline Project (collectively called “IS/MND”)

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors consider adoption of Resolution No. 2011-31 to adopt the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline Project/Eastern Distribution System Project.

Action Required: X Resolution _____Motion _____Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

_____Resolution No_____ Motion By_____ Seconded By_____

Ayes_____ Abstained_____

Noes_____ Absent_____

Reagendized_____ Date_____ No Action Taken_____

May 10, 2011

Resolution No. 2011 - 31
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Adopting the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for
Watkins Gate and Pipeline /Eastern Distribution System Project

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on May 10, 2011 at the business office of the District, 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, the 1993 Agreement with Monterey County Water Resources Agency Zones 2 and 2A allows for a combined annual withdrawal in the Ord Community of up to 6,600 acre feet per year of groundwater about equal to the historic demand from Army uses at Fort Ord; and,

WHEREAS, the Salinas Valley Integrated Water Management Plan was approved on March 20, 2007 to receive Proposition 50 funds from the State Water Resources Control Board and is expected to reimburse a portion of the District costs for replacement wells in the pressure zone; and,

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2009-29, the Board Approved a Professional Services Agreement with Denise Duffy & Associates for General Environmental Services.

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2010-56 the Board adopted the Central Marina/Ord Budget for FY 2010-2011 which includes funding for the Watkins Gate Well; and,

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2011, the Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline /Eastern Distribution System Project, dated March 2011, and hereby incorporated by reference into this resolution (hereafter, the “Draft IS/MND” or “Project”), was released for a public review period and required copies of the Draft IS/MND were sent to the State of California Office of Planning and Research (State Clearinghouse) (SCH #2011031082); and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21092 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15072, notice of the availability of the Draft IS/MND and the Notice of Intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration were posted with the Monterey County Clerk for a period of 30 days, posted at the site, and sent to responsible and trustee agencies and interested organizations; and,

WHEREAS, the public comment period closed after a duly noticed public review period on April 22, 2011; and,

WHEREAS, comments were received from the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, Union Community Partners-East Garrison, LLC, and State of California Office of

Planning and Research and the comments and responses are provided in the Final Initial Study/Negative Declaration (“Final IS/MND”) dated May 2, 2011; and,

WHEREAS, the IS/MND is comprised of the separately-bound Draft IS/MND and the Final IS/MND documents dated March, 2011, and May 2, 2011, respectively; and,

WHEREAS, the comments received on the Draft IS/MND did not result in a "substantial revision" of the negative declaration as defined by CEQA Guidelines Section 15073.5 and the new information added to the negative declaration merely clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modifications to the IS/MND; and,

WHEREAS, the IS/MND recommends mitigation measures for environmental effects of the Project that would reduce the Project-related impacts to an acceptable, less than significant level; and,

WHEREAS, the mitigation measures adopted by the District will be implemented as set forth in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21081.6, which described the requirements and procedures to be followed in implementing mitigation measures.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District, that:

- 1) The IS/MND and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project is hereby adopted.
- 2) The District intends to implement the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program as set forth in the IS/MND during Project implementation and operation in order to reduce all identified significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on May 10, 2011, by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 2011-31, adopted May 10, 2011.

Jim Heitzman

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-C

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Patrick Breen

Presented By: Patrick Breen

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-32 to Approve an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation for a Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$36,500

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve an amendment of the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers (Resolution No. 2011-22) to provide professional engineering services related to the design and construction of a well near the intersection of former Ft. Ord road "Watkins Gate Road" and Reservation Road in furtherance of the Proposition 50 Grant funded Eastern Distribution Project.

On March 8, 2011 the Board of Directors approved a professional services agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini (Resolution No. 2011-22). The work scope included: site investigation, test well installation, evaluation, and monitoring well installation.

This amendment includes the following scope items: 1) Provide final well design and specifications. 2) Provide bidding process and technical assistance during well construction and testing. 3) Provide project management, permitting assistance and final project report of well construction.

Environmental Review Compliance: This item is contingent upon the Board Adopting the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Adopting the Mitigation Monitoring and Report Program for a Marina Coast Water District Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline (Resolution No. 2011-31).

Prior Committee or Board Action: Resolution No. 2011-22 the Board authorized a professional services agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 2 – To meet 100% of current and future customers' needs and make timely improvements and increase infrastructure and level of services and human resources to meet needs of expanding service areas in an environmentally sensitive way.*

Financial Impact: Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: The amount of \$36,500 is part of CIP No. OW-116 approved in FY 2010/11 Budget and will be funded from Ord Water Reserves.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2011-32.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors consider adoption of Resolution No. 2011-32 that authorizes the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to amend the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for engineering services related to the design and construction of the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well for a not-to-exceed amount of \$36,500.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

_____ Resolution No _____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

May 10, 2011

Resolution No. 2011 - 32
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Amend the Professional Services Agreement with
Luhdorff & Scalmanini for the Watkins Gate Well Installation
Not-To-Exceed \$36,500

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on May 10, 2011 at the business office of the District, 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-22 the Board authorized signature of a Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Watkins Gate Well Installation; and,

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-31, the Board adopted the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for a Marina Coast Water District Watkins Gate Well and Approved the Mitigated Monitoring and Reporting Program; and,

WHEREAS, Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers, is a licensed, qualified consultant who has done hydrogeological and related work in the area in the past thus giving them knowledge of the local hydrogeology and qualifications.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District does hereby Authorize the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to amend the Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for engineering services related to the design and construction of a well near the intersection of former Ft. Ord road “Watkins Gate Road” and Reservation Road; and, to take all actions and execute all documents as may be necessary or appropriate to give effect to this resolution, for a total dollar amount not-to-exceed \$36,500.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on May 10, 2011 by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2010-32 adopted May 10, 2011.

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-D

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Patrick Breen

Presented By: Patrick Breen

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-33 to Approve an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$167,100

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to approve an amendment of the Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers (Resolution No. 2011-29) to provide professional engineering services related to planning for a water well near the intersection of former Ft. Ord road "Watkins Gate Road" and Reservation Road and associated pipeline in furtherance of the Proposition 50 Grant funded Eastern Distribution Project.

On April 12, 2011 the Board of Directors approved a professional services agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers (Resolution No. 2011-29). The scope included: Survey and Base mapping, Soil Investigation and Geotechnical Engineering, and Preliminary Design & Report.

This amendment includes the following scope items: 1) Detailed Design, 100% Plans, specifications, and cost estimates 2) Bid support 3) Construction support 4) Associated project management, meetings, & coordination.

Environmental Review Compliance: This item is contingent upon the Board Adopting the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Adopt the Mitigation Monitoring and Report Program for a Marina Coast Water District Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline (Resolution No 2011-31).

Prior Committee or Board Action: Resolution No. 2011-29 the Board Authorized Signature of a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 2 – To meet 100% of current and future customers' needs and make timely improvements and increase infrastructure and level of services and human resources to meet needs of expanding service areas in an environmentally sensitive way.*

Financial Impact: X Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: The amount of 167,100 is part of CIP No. OW-116 approved in the FY 2010/11 Budget and will be funded from Ord Water Reserves.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2011-33.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors adopt Resolution No. 2011-33 that authorizes the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to amend the a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Engineers for engineering services related to the planning of the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well and associated Pipeline in the amount of \$167,100.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

_____ Resolution No _____ Motion By _____ Seconded By _____

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

May 10, 2011

Resolution No. 2011 - 33
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District
Amending the Professional Services Agreement with
Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services
Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation
for a Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$167,100

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on May 10, 2011 at the business office of the District, 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-29 the Board authorized signature of a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Engineers for the Watkins Gate and Pipeline/Eastern Distribution System Project.

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-31, the Board adopted the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for a Marina Coast Water District Watkins Gate Well and Approved the Mitigated Monitoring and Reporting Program; and,

WHEREAS, Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Engineers has been found to be qualified in performing engineering services related to the water wells and pipelines.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District does hereby Authorize the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to amend the Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Engineers for engineering services related to the planning of a well and pipeline near the intersection of former Ft. Ord road “Watkins Gate Road” and Reservation Road; and, to take all actions and execute all documents as may be necessary or appropriate to give effect to this resolution, for a total dollar amount not-to-exceed \$167,100.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on May 10, 2011 by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution No. 2011-33 adopted May 10, 2011.

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-E

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Gary Rogers
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Gary Rogers

Agenda Title: Consider Adoption of Resolution No. 2011-34 to Authorize the General Manager and/or the Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to Sign a License and Indemnity Agreement with the Union Community Partners-East Garrison, LLC (UCP/Grantor) for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to authorize the General Manager and/or the Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to sign a License and Indemnity Agreement (LIA) in order to gain temporary access to the proposed Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Site (Grantor's property) for initial testing, surveying and evaluation purposes (Work). This Work includes drilling a test hole/monitoring well, topographic survey, exploratory geotechnical investigation and general access for MCWD and its consultants as stated in the LIA.

The LIA is with the UCP-East Garrison, LLC, because UCP owns the land that the MCWD proposes the install a municipal well and associated pipeline. Key items required by UCP are: (1) MCWD provide the types of insurance coverage as expressed in the LIA and that UCP be properly named as an additional insured in the policy for the Work; and, (2) UCP receives the appropriate indemnifications and is held harmless as it relates to the Work performed by the MCWD or its authorized agents, employees, contractors and subcontractors.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required

Prior Committee or Board Action: In Resolution No. 2011-22, the Board Authorized a Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$151,685; In Resolution No. 2011-29, the Board Approved a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$54,680.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Goal No. 2 – To meet 100% of current and future customers' needs and make timely improvements and increase infrastructure and level of services and human resources to meet needs of expanding service areas in an environmentally sensitive way.*

Financial Impact: X Yes No

Funding Source/Recap: Funding for District staff to process this LIA is from the Ord Community Water cost center. Additionally, the District will continue requesting reimbursement from the State of California State Water Resources Control Board Proposition 50 funds.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: Resolution No. 2011-34; and the LIA with UCP-East Garrison, LLC for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site.

Staff Recommendation: The Board of Directors consider adopting Resolution No. 2011-34 Authorizing the General Manager and/or the Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to Sign a License and Indemnity Agreement with UCP-East Garrison, LLC for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site.

Action Required: Resolution Motion Review
(Roll call vote is required.)

Board Action

Resolution No Motion By Seconded By

Ayes Abstained

Noes Absent

Reagendized Date No Action Taken

May 10, 2011

Resolution No. 2011 - 34
Resolution of the Board of Directors
Marina Coast Water District

Authorizing the General Manager and/or the Deputy General Manager/District Engineer
to Sign a License and Indemnity Agreement with the UCP-East Garrison, LLC
for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site

RESOLVED by the Board of Directors (“Directors”) of the Marina Coast Water District (“District”), at a regular meeting duly called and held on May 10, 2011, at the business office of the District, 11 Reservation Road, Marina, California as follows:

WHEREAS, the 1993 Agreement with Monterey County Water Resources Agency Zones 2 and 2A allows for a combined annual withdrawal in the Ord Community of up to 6,600 acre feet per year of groundwater about equal to the historic demand from Army uses at Fort Ord; and,

WHEREAS, the Salinas Valley Integrated Water Management Plan was approved on March 20, 2007 to receive Proposition 50 funds from the State Water Resources Control Board and is expected to reimburse a portion of the District costs for replacement wells from the pressure zone; and,

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2010-56 the Board adopted the Central Marina/Ord Budget for FY 2010-2011 which includes funding for the Watkins Gate Well; and,

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-22, the Board Authorized a Professional Services Agreement with Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers for the Eastern Distribution System Watkins Gate Well Installation for a Not-To-Exceed Amount of \$151,685;

WHEREAS, in Resolution No. 2011-29, the Board Approved a Professional Services Agreement with Schaaf & Wheeler Consulting Civil Engineers for Engineering Services Related to the Eastern Distribution Project Watkins Gate Well & Pipeline Installation for a Not-to-Exceed Amount of \$54,680;

WHEREAS, the Work expressed in the License and Indemnity Agreement is necessary for the furtherance of the Watkins Gate and Pipeline Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, based upon the foregoing, the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District does hereby authorize the General Manager and/or Deputy General Manager/District Engineer to sign a License and Indemnity Agreement UCP-East Garrison, LLC for Temporary Access to the Watkins Gate Well and Pipeline Project Site.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on May 10, 2011, by the Board of Directors of the Marina Coast Water District by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Directors _____

Noes: Directors _____

Absent: Directors _____

Abstained: Directors _____

William Y. Lee, President

ATTEST:

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

CERTIFICATE OF SECRETARY

The undersigned Secretary of the Board of the Marina Coast Water District hereby certifies that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of Resolution No. 2011-34, adopted May 10, 2011.

Jim Heitzman, Secretary

Marina Coast Water District
Agenda Transmittal

Agenda Item: 10-F

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Paula Riso

Presented By: President Lee

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Agenda Title: Consider Revisiting the Director Appointment as Ex-Officio Member to the Fort Ord Reuse Authority Board of Directors

Detailed Description: The Board of Directors is requested to revisit the Director appointment to the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) as an ex-officio member to their Board of Directors.

On January 11, 2011, President Lee recommended, and the Board approved, appointing Vice President Burns as the ex-officio Board member to FORA and Director Gustafson as the alternate. Due to the additional duties recently placed upon Vice President Burns, President Lee would like to revisit the appointment and appoint Director Nishi as the ex-officio Board member to FORA with Director Gustafson as the alternate.

Environmental Review Compliance: None required.

Prior Committee or Board Action: On January 11, 2011, the Board of Directors approved the Director appointments to Standing Committees of the Board and to outside agencies for 2011.

Board Goals/Objectives: *Strategic Plan, Mission Statement – Providing high quality water, wastewater and recycled water services to the District's expanding communities through management, conservation and development of future resources at reasonable costs.*

Financial Impact: ___ Yes ___ **X** ___ No

Funding Source/Recap: None.

Material Included for Information/Consideration: None.

Staff Recommendation: This is a Board of Directors requested item.

Action Required: ___ Resolution ___ **X** ___ Motion ___ Review

Board Action

___ Resolution No ___ Motion By ___ Seconded By ___

Ayes _____ Abstained _____

Noes _____ Absent _____

Reagendized _____ Date _____ No Action Taken _____

Marina Coast Water District
Staff Report

Agenda Item: 11-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Prepared By: Suresh Prasad, Rich Youngblood
Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Presented By: Rich Youngblood

Subject: 1st Quarter 2011 Ord Community Water Consumption and Sewer Flow Report

Summary: The Board of Directors is requested to receive the 1st Quarter 2011 Ord Community Water Consumption and Sewer Flow Report. Quarterly water consumption reports have been submitted to the Board since 2006. This staff report also includes Ord Community sewer flows so that sewer capacity can be tracked.

The sewer flow is measured at the Ord Community flume. The flume went into operation in April 2007. This report tracks available sewer capacity that was dedicated by the US Army for use in the development of the Ord Community. The Army had sewer treatment capacity rights of 3.3 million gallons per day (mgd) of which it retained 1.1 mgd with 2.2 mgd for use by the Ord Community.

Below are informational annotations for the data reported:

- The rainfall for the 1st Quarter of 2011 (January, February, March), was 9.01 inches, below the historical quarterly average of 9.49 inches. The evapotranspiration for this period was 6.96 inches compared to the historical average quarterly figure of 6 inches.
- The number of customers in each category is defined as the number of active accounts within that land use jurisdiction.
- “Army Facilities & Businesses - Ord” captures all uses not listed in the other Army categories

Ord Community Sewer flows as measured at the old Main Garrison Wastewater Treatment Plant for the 1st Quarter of 2011 was 82.83 million gallons or 0.92 mgd. This leaves an available balance of 2.38 million gallons per day.

The 2nd Quarter 2011, Ord Community Water Consumption and Sewer Flow Report will be presented to the Board in July 2011.



MARINA COAST WATER DISTRICT
 10 YEAR ANNUAL CONSUMPTION REPORT BY LAND USE JURISDICTION - DETAIL

Report Modified: 4/27/2011

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - ARMY

Army Facilities & Businesses - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	50.39	63.63	62.18	37.32	36.49	46.42	39.16	44.94	45.29	7.92
No. of Connections	29	29	28	28	28	29	29	29	30	31
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	410	410	410	410	410	410	410	410.00	410	103

Army Construction Water - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.07	21.72	20.88	0.78	0.03	3.54	40.48	2.73	0.05
No. of Connections	0	1	1	4	2	1	1	3	1	1
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

Fitch Park Army - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.31	63.96	81.79	78.91	75.03	73.38	16.89
No. of Connections	0	0	0	72	211	213	213	213	213	212
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Hayes Park Army - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	6.20	3.03	2.26	98.03	104.95	103.17	90.42	87.52	75.30	11.82
No. of Connections	25	25	48	164	164	164	164	164	164	164
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Kidney - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	72.92	15.08
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	288	288
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - ARMY										
Metered Consumption (af)	56.59	66.74	86.15	157.54	206.17	231.41	212.02	248.47	269.62	51.76
Army Retention (af)	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577	1,577
Water Used (%)	30%	30%	31%	36%	39%	41%	39%	42%	43%	10%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	102.50

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - CITY OF MONTEREY

City of Monterey - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - CITY OF MONTEREY
--

Metered Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FORA Allocation (af)	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65
Water Used (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - COUNTY/STATE PARKS

County/State Parks - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Land Use Agency Allocatiord										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - COUNTY/STATE PARKS
--

Metered Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FORA Allocation (af)	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
Water Used (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unmetered Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - CSUMB

CSUMB

Total Consumption (af)	89.07	91.23	108.13	139.72	106.07	113.27	118.71	157.88	131.29	22.89
No. of Connections	74	73	74	81	78	84	83	87	89	84
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	475	475	475	475	475	475	475	350.00	0	0

CSUMB - Frederick Park

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.63	96.49	23.16
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	135	132
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

CSUMB - Schoonover I

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	67.25	137.35	32.18
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	246	246
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

CSUMB - Schoonover II

Total Consumption (af)	22.79	20.36	18.78	18.30	15.44	15.93	23.39	28.97	33.71	6.39
No. of Connections	66	66	66	66	66	66	152	152	155	154
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - CSUMB

Metered Consumption (af)	111.85	111.59	126.91	158.01	121.51	129.20	142.10	270.73	398.85	84.63
FORA Allocation (af)	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035	1,035
Water Used (%)	57%	57%	58%	61%	58%	58%	60%	60%	39%	8%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	475.00	475.00	475.00	475.00	475.00	475.00	475.00	350.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - DEL REY OAKS

Del Rey Oaks - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - DEL REY OAKS
--

Metered Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FORA Allocation (af)	243	243	243	243	243	243	243	243	243	243
Water Used (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - MARINA

Abrams Park - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	98.93	111.07	89.26	76.31	71.97	70.11	80.39	83.78	72.57	15.33
No. of Connections	219	226	226	226	227	229	228	228	228	226
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

CHOMP - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Cypress Knolls - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Land Use Agency Allocatior	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156
Water Used (%)					0 %					
MCWD WSA Estimate	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

Marina - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	28.20	23.54	24.65	31.92	25.59	23.33	17.44	17.36	17.08	2.56
No. of Connections	35	34	35	44	44	41	38	39	38	32
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Marina Airport - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	13.25	7.62	6.11	5.36	4.99	5.24	5.32	7.94	9.76	1.55
No. of Connections	15	17	16	21	21	22	18	20	23	20
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Marina Construction Water - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	50.08	12.14	14.29	8.63	47.21	86.98	13.86	18.26	17.25	1.43
No. of Connections	5	7	10	11	12	22	16	10	16	8
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

Marina Heights - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.94	85.07	47.92	8.34	8.70	0.98
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	4	9	7	7	7	7
Land Use Agency Allocati	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
Water Used (%)					2 %	29%	16%	3%	3%	0%
MCWD WSA Estimate	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Preston Park - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	126.58	132.41	122.91	105.71	100.71	100.78	106.09	111.16	103.85	20.00
No. of Connections	392	389	388	380	379	380	379	378	378	379
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

School - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.50	0.46	0.51	0.13
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

University Villages - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83	30.75	62.77	52.34	42.51	41.55	9.01
No. of Connections	0	0	0	1	3	26	14	13	13	13
Land Use Agency Allocati	593	593	593	593	593	593	593	593	593	593
Water Used (%)				0 %	5 %	11%	9%	7%	7%	2%
MCWD WSA Estimate	732	732	732	732	732	732	732	732	732	732
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

UV Specific Plan - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Land Use Agency Allocati	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
Water Used (%)					0 %					
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - MARINA

Metered Consumption (af)	317.04	286.79	257.22	228.75	288.16	434.88	323.86	289.81	271.26	51.51
FORA Allocation (af)	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,325
Water Used (%)	24%	22%	19%	17%	22%	33%	24%	22%	20%	4%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - MONTEREY COUNTY
--

County - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	6.85	5.28	4.85	4.07	2.96	5.02	7.05	2.88	10.14	0.57
No. of Connections	5	5	6	6	6	6	4	5	6	4
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

County Construction Water - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.66	0.16	0.00	2.56	0.43	0.00	0.02	0.73	0.17	0.22
No. of Connections	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	3	1
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

East Garrison - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	2.50	191.94	29.51	0.70	0.06	0.25
No. of Connections	0	0	0	1	3	4	5	3	1	1
Land Use Agency Allocati	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470
Water Used (%)				0 %	1 %	41%	6%	0%	0%	0%
MCWD WSA Estimate	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470	470
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - MONTEREY COUNTY										
Metered Consumption (af)	7.51	5.44	4.85	8.04	5.89	196.96	36.57	4.31	10.37	1.03
FORA Allocation (af)	710	710	710	710	710	710	710	710	710	710
Water Used (%)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	28%	5%	1%	1%	0%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - SEASIDE

Bay View Mobile Park - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	14.64	72.19	60.14	59.89	63.10	66.34	63.61	60.11	10.79
No. of Connections	0	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Seaside Golf Course - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.52	0.31	0.60	1.28	10.56	21.01	1.39	1.05	349.21	32.54
No. of Connections	1	1	1	6	7	6	3	2	3	2
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

School - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	121.23	110.12	113.86	114.78	82.11	90.17	126.82	94.82	100.39	8.62
No. of Connections	7	7	7	9	12	12	13	16	17	14
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

Seaside - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	3.08	3.13	3.96	3.12	2.61	6.67	5.26	6.03	5.10	1.02
No. of Connections	3	3	3	4	8	7	8	9	9	7
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Seaside Resort - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04
No. of Connections	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Seaside Construction Water - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	4.01	36.90	21.46	1.65	10.48	7.04	19.55	26.79	52.84	0.70
No. of Connections	5	19	17	7	8	7	13	9	10	4
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

Seaside Highlands - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	9.68	166.50	194.54	170.89	185.72	192.72	166.66	156.69	21.47
No. of Connections	0	111	326	392	392	391	392	391	389	385
Land Use Agency Allocati	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
Water Used (%)		8%	133%	156 %	137 %	149%	154%	133%	125%	17%
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Seaside Highlands Soaper Field - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.00	0.00	24.26	18.35	16.77	13.42	11.67	10.82	9.14	0.60
No. of Connections	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Sun Bay (300 units) - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	81.53	64.77	65.40	62.74	61.60	64.09	59.81	59.83	60.25	13.73
No. of Connections	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Land Use Agency Allocati										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - SEASIDE										
Metered Consumption (af)	210.37	239.53	468.23	456.60	414.91	451.22	483.56	429.61	793.72	89.51
FORA Allocation (af)	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012
Water Used (%)	21%	24%	46%	45%	41%	45%	48%	42%	78%	9%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LAND USE JURISDICTION	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011* as of Mar 31
-----------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-----------------------

* represents year to date consumption figures which have been billed to customers

ORD COMMUNITY - UCMBEST

U C MBEST - Ord

Total Consumption (af)	0.40	3.03	4.15	5.28	5.36	2.49	1.53	1.61	2.43	0.14
No. of Connections	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Land Use Agency Allocatior										
Water Used (%)										
MCWD WSA Estimate										
Unmetered Water Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0

TOTALS FOR ORD COMMUNITY - UCMBEST

Metered Consumption (af)	0.40	3.03	4.15	5.28	5.36	2.49	1.53	1.61	2.43	0.14
FORA Allocation (af)	230	230	230	230	230	230	230	230	230	230
Water Used (%)	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Unmeterd Water Use (af)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Marina Coast Water District
Staff Report

Agenda Item: 11-B

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Rich Youngblood

Presented By: Rich Youngblood

Reviewed By: Carl Niizawa

Subject: Water Conservation Commission

Summary: The Water Conservation Commission exists to provide recommendations to the Board on water conservation issues such as ordinances, policies, public information, and programs related to water conservation. (See attached extract form Board Procedures Manual and the Water Conservation Procedures).

The Commission is authorized nine positions. A representative from the City Council of the City of Marina, a representative from the US Army, and a representative from CSUMB. The Board will appoint five (5) members of the public from within the area served by the District for terms of two years.

Presently the Commission consists of the following members:

Board of Directors Member (1):	Bill Lee, Dan Burns (alternate)
City of Marina Council Member (1):	Jim Ford
Army Member (1):	Jay Tulley
CSUMB Member (1)	Bob Brown
Public Members (5):	Dan Amedeo (Dec 2009-11)
	Ruth Krotzer (Dec 2010-12)
	Steven Reeves (Apr 2010-12)
	Harold Krotzer (Apr 2011-13)
	Vacant (1)

Marina Coast Water District
Staff Report

Agenda Item: 12-A

Meeting Date: May 10, 2011

Submitted By: Jim Heitzman

Presented By: Jim Heitzman

Subject: Review Board Procedures Manual

Summary: The Board of Directors have requested that a standing monthly workshop be placed on the agenda to allow discussion of the Board Procedures Manual.

The purpose of the Board Procedures Manual is to provide a composite of information on procedures approved by the Board of Directors to be used in the conduct of Board business to provide for the fair and efficient consideration of said matters, to ensure that the public is informed of the matters coming before the Board and has an opportunity to witness the deliberations of the members thereof in the conduct of the Board's business, and to encourage proper public involvement in the deliberations of the Board.

This workshop is the appropriate time for Directors to bring forward questions or comments regarding any section of the Board Procedures Manual.